Integrated multi-system Prediction via Equilibrium State Evaluation

Abstract

This study presents a new paradigm of prediction, Equilibrium State Evaluation (ESE), which excels in multi-system prediction where systems interact with each other and every system needs its own prediction. Unlike mainstream prediction approaches, ESE views each system as an integral part under one structure and predicts all systems simultaneously in one go. It evaluates these systems' equilibrium state by analyzing the dynamics of their attributes in a holistic manner, instead of treating each system as an individual time series. The effectiveness of ESE is verified in synthetic and real world scenarios, in particular COVID-19 transmission, where each geographic region can be viewed as a system. So cases spreading across regions against the medical competency and demographic traits of these regions can be considered as an equilibrium problem rather than a time series problem. Extensive analysis and experiments show that ESE is linear in complexity and can be 10+ times faster than SOTA methods, yet achieving comparable or better prediction accuracy. More importantly, ESE can be integrated with these prediction methods to achieve both high accuracy and high speed, making it a powerful prediction mechanism, especially for scenarios that involve multiple systems. When the dimensionality of the multi-system increases, e.g. more systems joining, the advantages of ESE becomes even more apparent. [1].

1 Introduction

This study establishes a new prediction method to address tasks involving multiple systems that may interact with each other. While predicting individually for each system is possible, we enable a holistic approach based on the concept of equilibrium, so all of the systems can be predicted at once without any repetition. Equilibrium by nature is not for prediction but describes a state or condition in which all competing influences in a system remain relatively balanced Daskalakis et al. (2009). If the equilibrium no longer holds, the system will start to destabilize. For example, a system in thermal equilibrium will not be so when a hot object appears. A new equilibrium may emerge after going through certain changes and adjustments. By viewing systems as components of a super-system and evaluating the equilibrium state of the super-system, we can estimate the interactions between these systems. Hence the tendency of changes between systems can be revealed. Subsequently, the future states of all these systems in the integrated super-system could be predicted. Based on this hypothesis, we propose a multi-system prediction approach, Equilibrium State Evaluation (ESE).

Equilibrium can be easily multi-dimensional and multifaceted. So equilibrium-based prediction can also go beyond single-target prediction, unlike typical time-series approaches. For example, considering an epidemic that spreads across different regions of a big area, each region itself is a system. Regions do influence their adjacent regions and are also influenced by their neighbours. So the area can be viewed as a case of integrated multi-system. Predicting the state of each region can be achieved by predicting the whole area with only one run of our proposed ESE, instead of multiple prediction runs for individual regions. ESE consists of three major components: (1) Equilibrium State Estimation, (2) Equilibrium Index, to measure the deviation of the current state from equilibrium, and (3) Predictor, to forecast the states of all systems based on the deviation. Moreover, ESE can act alone but can also be integrated with other methods, enabling these conventional methods to perform multi-system prediction as well. In such cases, these methods predict the overall trend

---

[1]The source code and the full data sets are viewable at: https://anonymous.4open.science/r/ESE-6432

while ESE takes care of the distribution across different systems. **Contributions** are summarized into three folds as follows:

- We propose a new integrated multi-system prediction mechanism, ESE,based on the concept of equilibrium. Unlike current time series prediction approaches, ESE predicts all systems in one run. Due to its linear complexity, ESE is more advantageous compared to other approaches when the number of involved systems is large.
- We conduct extensive experiments across a range of synthetic and real-world COVID-19 data, with various input lengths, prediction distances and granularities, demonstrating that ESE is not just fast and accurate, but also flexible, compared to SOTA prediction methods.
- We integrate the proposed ESE with SOTA prediction methods so the integrated models can achieve multi-system prediction with higher accuracy when maintaining high speed.

The epidemic data highly represents the definitions of integrated multi-system (introduced at Section 2. Therefore, Our case study on real-world data uses COVID-19 Cucinotta & Vanelli (2020), a type of epidemic, that refers to infectious diseases that occur in specific groups of people or regions under common causes Dicker et al. (2006). Elderly and people with underlying diseases such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes are more susceptible to COVID-19 Bajaj et al. (2021); Emami et al. (2020); Javanmardi et al. (2020); Richardson et al. (2020). Hence demographic attributes are important in COVID-19 prediction. Susceptible affected recovered (SIR) EPIC model, a predictive method in epidemiology, has been used to simulate the spread of dengue fever disease Side & Noorani (2013) and COVID-19 Cooper et al. (2020). The spatial distribution of confirmed cases can be predicted by using population mobility data Jia et al. (2020), and by analysing the spatio-temporal trends and characteristics of the pandemic Huang et al. (2020); Rex et al. (2020). Common approaches for prediction of epidemic spreading are mainly based on time series or related methods Kumar et al. (2020); Perone (2020). In particular, ARIMA ArunKumar et al. (2021); Benvenuto et al. (2020) and LSTM/GRU Feng et al. (2022); Omran et al. (2021); Sah et al. (2022); Shahid et al. (2020) are the mainstream methods to forecast the number of new cases. A few variations have been proposed to improve accuracy, such as EVDHM-ARIMA, which was used to predict new daily cases of the COVID-19 pandemic in India, the United States, and Brazil Sharma et al. (2021). CNN-LSTM has been also applied to predict new cases and to analyze the status of medical resources availability Ketu & Mishra (2021).

2 EQUILIBRIUM AND INTEGRATED MULTI-SYSTEM

Equilibrium Equilibrium state is common in the real world, such as isostatic equilibrium in mechanics Hemingway & Matsuyama (2017) and homeostasis in biology Hegyi et al. (2012). Equilibrium also plays an essential role in economics, e.g. equilibria in the large market Cole & Tao (2016). For example, market competition can be predicted by the regression equilibrium Ben-Porat & Tennenholtz (2019). The equilibrium state of decision-making behaviours can be viewed as Nash equilibrium Farina & Sandholm (2021). Nagurney et al. utilize Nash equilibrium to analyze the impact of the pandemic on business competition and other socioeconomic activities Nagurney & Salarpour (2021). Bairagi et al. use equilibrium-based game theory to design the optimization scheme for social distancing to minimize the COVID-19 situation Bairagi et al. (2020). Equilibrium-based approaches have not yet been widely adopted in numerical analysis or prediction, possibly because these are not the intended purposes of equilibrium. It is however increasingly more valued in learning as it can effectively improve computational efficiency when facing complex operations. For example, equilibrium is used to solve the problem of decentralized learning in Markov games Foster et al. (2023). The deep equilibrium model, which incorporates the concept of equilibrium into deep learning, makes it possible to perform training and prediction without the need for increased memory, regardless of the network's effective "depth" Bai et al. (2019); Yang et al. (2023). This technique is also a success in computer vision Bai et al. (2022; 2020); Graf et al. (2022).

Multi-system Although no universal definition, multi-system is widely mentioned in literature from different fields, such as Pathology Haslak et al. (2021), Sociology Andersen & Geels (2023), and marketing Gilliland (2023). For this study, we define integrated multi-system as below and illustrated in Figure 1. It is particularly important to distinguish multi-system from similar-looking terms related to prediction, such as multi-target, multi-variate, multi-objective, and multi-compartment.

Figure 1: Illustration of a multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$, which contains systems s_1,s_2,s_3,s_4,s_5,s_6 and more. Each system s_i contains three attributes, $Attribute\ 1$ to $Attribute\ 3$. The attribute set of system s_4 is denoted as $\mathcal{A}_4$. The task is to predict the future state of all systems in $\mathcal{MS}$ simultaneously.

Definition 1: An integrated multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$ contains n systems as set $s_{1:n} = [s_1, \ldots, s_n],\ n \in \mathbb{N}^*;\ n \geq 2$, each s_i is an individual system.

This definition describes an integrated multi-system consisting of 2 or more systems. Note, to simplify the representation, we use s_i to denote the target variable of System s_i as well. For example in the scenario of epidemic prediction of new cases, s_i represents the daily new cases in System s_i, or region i. Similarly, we use $\mathcal{MS}$ to represent the entire multi-system, as well as the sum of target variables of all systems, so $\mathcal{MS} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i\ n \geq 2$.

Each system can be viewed as an individual component, which is not independent of other systems. Systems do influence each other. A change in one system is the result of changes in other systems. Based on this, we can have Definition 2.

Definition 2: When multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$ is viewed in the entirety, the change in proportion in one system complements the total changes in proportion from other systems as expressed in Equation 1,

$$\triangle\gamma_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \triangle\gamma_j \, ; n \neq i, \tag{1}$$

Equation 1 derives from zero-sum games Eatwell et al. (1989); Von Neumann & Morgenstern (2007) and describes multi-systems that are suitable for this study. In the equation, γ_i is the proportion of system s_i to $\mathcal{MS}$, as described in Equation 2:

$$\gamma_i = \frac{s_i}{\mathcal{MS}} \tag{2}$$

Definition 2 describes the relationship between each system in $\mathcal{MS}$, that is, the change in one system equals the total changes in other systems. Note the entire integrated multi-system should be complete. For example, if we consider a nation as an integrated multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$ with its states as the systems, no state shall be removed from $\mathcal{MS}$. Thereby constraints need to be imposed for ESE:

Constraint 1: When considering an integrated multi-system, no system or component is excluded. If Constraint 1 is satisfied, $\mathcal{MS} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i = 0 \, ; n \geq 2,$, so the constraint can be expressed as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i = 1 \, ; n \geq 2, \tag{3}$$

Constraint 2: In an integrated multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$, the sum of changes of all systems in proportion is zero, as expressed in Equation 4. This is derived from Equation 1, as $\triangle\gamma_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \triangle\gamma_j = 0 \, ; n \neq i$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \triangle\gamma_i = 0 \, ; n \geq 2, \tag{4}$$

With Constraints 1 and 2, changes in the systems can be presented in proportion to $\mathcal{MS}$. Any change in one system will impact all other systems. Therefore, our equilibrium based method can apply as detailed in Section 3.

Definition 3: Systems $(s_{1:n})$ of multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$ collectively determine the state of the entire super-system. The attribute set $\mathcal{A}$ of every system is identical. The values of $\mathcal{A}$ for a system in $\mathcal{MS}$ can be expressed as in Equation 5, where $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the set $\alpha_{i,1:m}$, and m is the number of attributes in (s_i).

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \{\alpha_{i,j} | j \in [1:m], \ m \in \mathbb{N}^*\}. \tag{5}$$

3 EQUILIBRIUM STATE

As described in the introduction section, our equilibrium state evaluation method (ESE) analyzes whether the multi-system is in a state of equilibrium. The core idea is similar to Nash equilibrium Kreps (1989), performing state evaluation by analyzing the internal competitive relationship between systems. ESE also relates to the concept of deep equilibrium model Bai et al. (2019) and image information transformation Xu & Song (2022). That is, the changes in the state of a multi-system can be obtained by studying the internal competitive relationship between "internal" systems. Thus we can evaluate the overall state based on equilibrium.

Equilibrium Conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*) &\geq u_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2^*); \\ u_2(\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*) &\geq u_2(\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

To evaluate the equilibrium state of multi-system, the relationships between the systems need to be analyzed. According to Nash equilibrium's basic payoff function, we can have Equation 6 Eatwell et al. (1989); Von Neumann & Morgenstern (2007). They are for a two-player game with a single decision-making point, e.g. betray or not. Function $u_i()$ represents the payoff of player i, and α_i represents the decision of player i. Note that α_1 and α_2 are in the same decision space. That is if α_1 is a decision $\{yes, no\}$, α_2 is also of decision $\{yes, no\}$. Further, (α_1^*, α_2^*) are the decisions under Nash Equilibrium. Thus, we can obtain the conditions for being in an equilibrium state as below.

Lemma 1: The equilibrium state of the integrated multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$ means that all systems of $\mathcal{MS}$ reach their maximum benefit, or proportion in the system $\mathcal{MS}$, under mutual influence based on their attributes $\mathcal{A}$ (as the decisions in Nash equilibrium).

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(\mathcal{A}_1^*, \mathcal{A}_2^*, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n^*) &\geq U_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2^* \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n^*) \\ U_2(\mathcal{A}_1^*, \mathcal{A}_2^*, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n^*) &\geq U_2(\mathcal{A}_1^*, \mathcal{A}_2 \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n^*) \\ &\vdots \\ U_n(\mathcal{A}_1^*, \mathcal{A}_2^*, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n^*) &\geq U_n(\mathcal{A}_1^*, \mathcal{A}_2^*, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In the case of multi-system with a group of attributes, we can define the equilibrium conditions of $\mathcal{MS}$ as in Equation 7. It is a generalization of Equation 6. As shown in Equation 7, changes in any attribute of any system will lead to changes in other systems. Based on Constraints 1 and 2 in Section 2, we can separate the development of $\mathcal{MS}$ and only focus on the relationships between systems. Therefore, the payoff function $U()$ can be unified, as Equation 8[2]. In this way, internal variations within the system can be transformed into distribution of proportions, thereby showing the trends of each s_i more clearly and more consistently, regardless of the tendency of the $\mathcal{MS}$. The stronger the s_i, the greater the proportion. No matter how the $\mathcal{MS}$ develops, the proportions of the $s_{1:n}$ will not change if no change in attributes of $s_{1:n}$. Note, ESE does not require $\mathcal{MS}$ to reach equilibrium but estimates its equilibrium state.

$$U(\mathcal{A}_1^*, \mathcal{A}_2^*, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n^*) \geq \cdots \geq U(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \ldots, \mathcal{A}_n). \tag{8}$$

Now the equilibrium state of an integrated multi-system can be evaluated by estimating the proportion of each system based on the attribute set $\mathcal{A}$ of these systems, as presented in Section 4.1.

---

[2]The deductive reasoning process of the payoff function is presented in **Appendix B**.

Figure 2: Illustration of the overall process of ESE. Multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$ consists of systems s_1 to s_n. The attribute set of s_i, $\mathcal{A}_i$ is converted to feature values $\lambda_{i,j}$. So the state parameter sets from $\mathcal{ST}_t$ to $\mathcal{ST}_{t+h}$ can be obtained, where h is the input length. The feature values can generate the initial equilibrium state parameter set $\mathcal{ES}^{[0]}$. With all the above, followed by long-run equilibrium training, multiple predictions $\hat{s}_i$ can be performed through the predictor with equilibrium index.

4 EQUILIBRIUM STATE ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY

ESE is a dynamic framework with time-dependent interaction similar to time-varying models Gao et al. (2024). It consists of three main parts: (1) equilibrium state estimation, (2) equilibrium index, and (3) predictor, for estimating the equilibrium parameter set, evaluating the system's equilibrium level, and predicting the future states respectively. The overall process is illustrated in Figure 2.

4.1 ESTIMATING THE EQUILIBRIUM PARAMETER SET

State Parameter Set   Every system is always in a certain state $\mathcal{ST}$, which is the set of γ_i introducing at Section 2, $\gamma_{1:n} = [\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Equilibrium State Parameter Set   This is the state parameter set when all systems are in an estimated equilibrium. In other words, the equilibrium state parameter set can be expressed as $\mathcal{ES} = \gamma_{1:n}^* = [\gamma_1^*, \ldots, \gamma_n^*]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By comparing $\mathcal{ES}$ and $\mathcal{ST}$, we can determine whether the current state is in equilibrium or not, and hence estimate how far from the current state to the equilibrium state if the system is not yet in equilibrium. Similar to $\mathcal{ST}$, $\mathcal{ES}$ contains the same parts γ_i^*, which represents the corresponding s_i when the multi-system $\mathcal{MS}$ reaches equilibrium. Hereby $\gamma_{1:n}^*$ is the mapping of the states of all systems s_i of $\mathcal{MS}$.

$$\lambda_{i,j} = \frac{\alpha_{i,j} - \bar{at}_j}{upperbound(at_j) - lowerbound(at_j)}, \tag{9}$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
s_1 & s_2 & \cdots & s_n \\
\lambda_{1,1} & \lambda_{2,1} & \cdots & \lambda_{n,1} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,1} = 0 \\
\lambda_{1,2} & \lambda_{2,2} & \cdots & \lambda_{n,2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,2} = 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\lambda_{1,m} & \lambda_{2,m} & \cdots & \lambda_{n,m} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,m} = 0 \\
\Downarrow & \Downarrow & \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\
\Lambda_1 & \Lambda_2 & \cdots & \Lambda_n & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_i = 0
\end{array}
\tag{10}
$$

In Figure 2, the columns in the blue box represent attributes from the attribute set $[at_1..at_m]$. The rows are the attributes set $\mathcal{A}$ for each system. We can extract the feature information $\lambda_{i,j}$ of attributes from all systems by using the feature measure of α_i as in Equation 9, where $\lambda_{i,j}$ is the feature information of s_i's attribute j, $\bar{at}_j$ is the average of attribute at_j, $upperbound(at_j)$ and $lowerbound(at_j)$

are the upper bound and lower bound values of attribute at_j. The upper and lower bounds are usually the maximum and minimum values in at_j. Because the system is assumed to be a zero-sum system, the aggregation of all $\lambda_{i,j}$, e.g. the feature of a particular attribute of all systems, is always summed to zero, e.g. $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{i,j} = 0$. That is applicable for all features/attributes, hence we can have Equation 10. In addition, the value of $\lambda_{i,j}$ would indicate the magnitude of the change in s_i and whether the change is positive or negative.

Λ_i in the Equation 10 is the total feature values of s_i. It is an intermediate parameter prior to the calculation of the initial equilibrium parameters $\mathcal{ES}^{[0]}$, as shown in Equation 11. Note, the total feature values of all s_i is 0, which conforms to the zero-sum game theory.

$$\mathcal{ES}^{[0]} = Equi(\lambda_{1:m,1:n}; \Lambda_{1:m}) = \frac{1 + \Lambda_i}{n} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi_j \lambda_{i,j}}{n} \tag{11}$$

In addition to the above parameters, a new parameter set ($\mathcal{L} = [l_1, \ldots, l_n]$) is needed to facilitate the subsequent predictor training. It is a n-element vector, which stores the progress of training. The elements of $\mathcal{L}$ map to the elements of $\mathcal{ES}$. The training process of $\mathcal{ES}$, e.g. the "Equilibrium Training" block of Fig. 2, is detailed in Algorithm 1. The progression of $\mathcal{L}$ over time, e.g. from t to $t + 1$ can be described in the following Equation 12.

$$\mathcal{L}^{[t+1]} = f(\mathcal{L}^{[t]}; \gamma_{1:n}^{*[t]}; \gamma_{1:n}^{[t]}), \ t \in [0 : L - 1], \mathcal{L}^{[0]} = 0 \tag{12}$$

Algorithm 1 The equilibrium training process of $\mathcal{ES}$

Input: $\mathcal{ST}_{1:t} = \gamma_{1:n,1:t}$, $\mathcal{ES}^{[0]}$
Output: $\mathcal{ES}_{output}$
1: $\mathcal{ES}^{[0]}$ as $\mathcal{ES}_{output}$; $i = 0$; $\mathcal{L}_0 = np.ones(n)$ /* Initialization, all n elements of $\mathcal{L}$ set to 1. */
2: **while** $\mathcal{ST}_{1:t} = \gamma_{1:n,1:t}$ and $\mathcal{ES}_{output} = \gamma_{output,1:n}^*$ are not cointegrated **do**
3:     **for** s in [1, t] **do**
4:         $\mathcal{L}_s = (\mathcal{ES}_s^{[i]} - \mathcal{ST}_s + \mathcal{L}_{s-1})/2$
5:     **end for**
6:     $\mathcal{ES}_{output} = \mathcal{ES}_t^{[i]} - (\mathcal{L}_{output}/2)$
7:     i+=1
8: **end while**

Cointegration in Algorithm 1 is often used in statistics to test long-run equilibrium Abadir (2004); Enders & Siklos (2001). In this study, we assume the existence of long-run equilibrium, if $\mathcal{ST}_{1:t}$ and $\mathcal{ES}_{output}$ are cointegrated. Otherwise, $\mathcal{ES}_{output}$ will keep converging until cointegration is reached. The cointegration equations are in Equation 13, where Φ are parameters used for Ordinary Least Square (OLS) estimation. When E_t are sequences of $I(0)$s, e.g. no differences, there is a cointegration relationship between $\mathcal{ST}_t$ and $\mathcal{ES}_t$. The convergence analysis of ESE training can be found in in **Appendix C**, which shows the progression of p-values from the cointegration test at each training step. Once the p-value reaches 0.05, the existence of long-run equilibrium is considered true, hence the training terminates. Then ESE prediction can proceed as shown below.

$$\mathcal{ST}_t = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1 \mathcal{ES}_t + E_t$$
$$E_t = \mathcal{ST}_t - \hat{\mathcal{ST}}_t = \mathcal{ST}_t - \hat{\Phi}_0 + \hat{\Phi}_1 \mathcal{ES}_t, \tag{13}$$

4.2 EQUILIBRIUM INDEX

The second key ingredient of ESE, Equilibrium Index (EI), is to measure the current equilibrium level of system $\mathcal{MS}$. In this study, Transformed Euclidean Distance (Equ 14) is introduced. The range of EI values is normalized in the range of $[0, 1]$. When EI approaches 0, the system becomes closer to its estimated equilibrium state. On the contrary, an EI value closer to 1 means the state is approaching extreme imbalance.

Transformed Euclidean Distance (TED) The core of TED is the Euclidean distance between states but with a further square root. That is to standardize the output. TED can better measure the difference between $\mathcal{ST} = \gamma_{1:n}$ and $\mathcal{ES} = \gamma_{1:n}^*$. It is more sensitive, especially for small distances.

$$EI_{TED} = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\gamma_i - \gamma_i^*)^2}{2} \right)^{1/4} \tag{14}$$

4.3 Predictor

The equilibrium state by nature is not for pre-
diction. We need a predictor to utilize the cal-
culated equilibrium state parameter set. Equa-

$$\hat{s}_{1:n,t+i} = \theta_{t+i} \mathcal{MS}_t \mathcal{ES}_t + e_{t+i}, \tag{15}$$

tion 15 is our autoregression-based predictor, where $s_{t,1:n}$ are all systems at time t, $\mathcal{MS}_t$ is the total
value of multi-system at time t, $\mathcal{ES}_t$ is the equilibrium state set at time t, θ_t is the coefficient obtained
by log maximum likelihood at time t, and $e_t + i$ is the residual. The detailed process of Equation 15
is in **Appendix D**. If a system's attributes do no change over time, $\mathcal{ES}$ will be a constant. Because
each equilibrium state parameter represents the proportion of the corresponding system relative to
the $\mathcal{MS}$, a parameter can be regarded as the weight of that system in $\mathcal{MS}$. So this predictor can
easily integrate with any existing prediction tool, such as LSTM, DeepAR, etc. When using other
tools with ESE, we only need the simplified version: $\hat{s}_{1:n,t+i} = \hat{\mathcal{MS}}_t \mathcal{ES}_t$ to get the prediction of
each system, where $\hat{\mathcal{MS}}_t$ is the predicted overall value obtained by other tools at time t.

5 Experiments

Our experiments involve two parts, synthetic data and real-world COVID-19 data. They will be
made publicly available as currently there is no benchmark for multi-system prediction. Common
time series prediction benchmarks are not suitable [3].

5.1 Synthetic Datasets

Three sets of synthetic data are generated as detailed in Appendix E. Six SOTA time series prediction
methods are selected in the comparison here: ARIMA ArunKumar et al. (2021), LSTM Feng et al.
(2022), Dlinear Zeng et al. (2023), Informer Zhou et al. (2021), DeepAR Le Guen & Thome (2020),
and PatchTST[4] Nie et al. (2023). Table 1 shows the performance in average RMSE and MAE (LHS)
as well as the costs (RHS). SOTA methods either predict alone (No ESE) or are combined with ESE
(With ESE). The full results for other input lengths and prediction steps are shown in **Appendix F.1**
(Tables 4, 5 and 6). We can observe that **(1)** ESE by itself is competitive in performance, never being
the worst; **(2)** With ESE, all predictors can perform better or at least maintain the performance; **(3)**
The best of each column is either by ESE alone or a SOTA predictor but with ESE. **(4)** The cost of
ESE is much lower than other predictors alone, except ARIMA. **(5)** When combined with ESE, the
cost of SOTA predictors can be significantly reduced from $1/2$ to $1/10$, except ARIMA. **(6)** More
systems lead to high cost. ARIMA does not involve any training, hence is fast on small data sets,
but not on large data. The complete results are shown in **Appendix F.2** (Tables 7, 8 and 9).

5.2 Read-world COVID-19 Data

Epidemic transmission across different regions, like the COVID-19 pandemic, is a real-world sce-
nario that can be viewed in equilibrium. Prediction is needed for each region which can be viewed
as a system. Hence we use this task to validate ESE. The data are the daily case data collected by
us from the state[5] government of Victoria, Australia, ranging from January 25, 2020, to Septem-
ber 16, 2022[6]. The information about the regions in Victoria and other epidemic related data are
collected from two main resources: (1) government agencies, e.g. the health department and the
Australian Bureau of Statistics; (2) media reports such as the Australian Broadcasting Corporation
(ABC), which reports epidemic related news, e.g. large scale crowd gathering, an announcement of
new government policies and mishandling in COVID-19 handling (details in **Appendix G**).

---

[3]In our experiments, all computing costs presented here are measured on AMD CPU Ryzen 9 7950X 16-
Core 4.50 GHz, 64 GB memory, and GPU NVIDIA 4090 with 24 GB memory.

[4]The PatchTST used in this study are all PatchTST/64.

[5]We use "state" for two unrelated concepts: the condition of a system, and a constituent unit of a nation.

[6]After Sep/16/22, data are no longer published daily but weekly, making it unsuitable for this study.

Table 1: Comparison on three synthetic datasets of 5 systems, 10 systems and 20 systems (input size = 20 steps, prediction step = 1). The results on the left are prediction accuracies . Highlighted are the best of those columns. On the right are the costs with different input lengths.

Models		Performance on 5/10/20 Systems		Cost on 5/10/20 Systems (mins)		
		RMSE	MAE	$Input = 10$	$Input = 20$	$Input = 50$
ESE	–	0.252 / 0.248 / 0.255	**0.210** / **0.228** / **0.216**	0.19 / 0.24 / 0.27	0.20 / 0.23 / 0.29	0.20 / 0.23 / 0.30
ARIMA	No ESE	0.243 / 0.249 / 0.262	0.229 / 0.243 / 0.235	0.04 / 0.09 / 0.17	0.04 / 0.09 / 0.17	0.04 / 0.08 / 0.18
	With ESE	**0.240** / 0.247 / 0.261	0.228 / 0.243 / 0.233	0.20 / 0.25 / 0.28	0.21 / 0.24 / 0.30	0.21 / 0.24 / 0.31
LSTM	No ESE	0.258 / 0.263 / 0.284	0.212 / 0.216 / 0.216	1.27 / 2.34 / 5.00	1.22 / 2.47 / 4.69	1.18 / 2.35 / 4.94
	With ESE	0.255 / 0.263 / 0.280	0.212 / 0.212 / 0.213	0.44 / 0.47 / 0.52	0.44 / 0.48 / 0.53	0.43 / 0.47 / 0.55
Dlinear	No ESE	0.257 / 0.256 / 0.264	0.214 / 0.221 / 0.204	1.47 / 2.93 / 5.53	1.39 / 2.93 / 5.80	1.41 / 2.75 / 5.68
	With ESE	0.254 / 0.264 / 0.260	**0.210** / 0.221 / 0.204	0.48 / 0.53 / 0.55	0.47 / 0.52 / 0.58	0.48 / 0.51 / 0.59
Informer	No ESE	0.248 / 0.244 / 0.252	0.213 / 0.236 / 0.245	0.90 / 1.71 / 3.44	0.86 / 1.69 / 3.40	0.83 / 1.66 / 3.38
	With ESE	0.246 / **0.241** / 0.251	0.239 / 0.232 / 0.234	0.37 / 0.41 / 0.45	0.37 / 0.40 / 0.46	0.36 / 0.40 / 0.47
DeepAR	No ESE	0.252 / 0.271 / 0.279	0.215 / 0.218 / 0.214	1.17 / 2.35 / 4.54	1.10 / 2.29 / 4.53	1.16 / 2.37 / 4.76
	With ESE	0.248 / 0.271 / 0.278	0.214 / **0.210** / **0.211**	0.61 / 0.51 / 0.57	0.51 / 0.53 / 0.52	0.51 / 0.64 / 0.53
PatchTST	No ESE	0.263 / 0.263 / 0.247	0.218 / 0.224 / 0.218	0.90 / 1.87 / 3.76	0.90 / 1.84 / 3.70	0.94 / 1.83 / 3.67
	With ESE	0.266 / 0.265 / **0.246**	0.219 / 0.224 / 0.218	0.37 / 0.43 / 0.46	0.38 / 0.41 / 0.46	0.38 / 0.41 / 0.49

Table 2: Comparing prediction performance with 12 SOTA methods, in RMSE, MAE, and DILATE, without ESE and with ESE, with input size = 50 steps and prediction step = 1, for 20/79/320 regions.

Models		Prediction Performance		
		RMSE	MAE	DILATE
ESE	–	62.16 / 54.52 / 47.34	51.34 / 49.94 / 45.67	77.64 / 94.52 / 78.24
VAR	–	77.19 / 84.94 / 89.09	73.55 / 82.26 / 83.26	110.48 / 118.93 / 119.60
ARIMA	No ESE	69.84 / 72.56 / 76.42	68.05 / 66.87 / 65.44	82.41 / 102.43 / 92.31
	With ESE	61.34 / 55.46 / 48.97	50.34 / 50.45 / 44.74	87.03 / 93.56 / 74.64
LSTM	No ESE	57.69 / 60.83 / 55.47	47.64 / 55.87 / 52.90	79.99 / 93.01 / 81.25
	With ESE	60.20 / 55.77 / 47.31	47.37 / 50.47 / 42.48	83.92 / 91.45 / 73.91
Dlinear	No ESE	57.32 / 55.15 / 51.32	49.63 / 52.95 / 48.39	80.41 / 94.24 / 82.43
	With ESE	58.13 / 53.44 / 47.06	46.82 / 50.42 / 43.60	71.91 / 93.33 / 72.47
Nlinear	No ESE	56.74 / 54.22 / 49.74	47.41 / 51.95 / 48.01	78.84 / 91.45 / 77.31
	With ESE	58.14 / 55.01 / 47.13	45.84 / 50.34 / 42.45	70.45 / 93.66 / 72.04
Informer	No ESE	58.31 / 61.23 / 57.14	46.72 / 58.85 / 52.56	79.45 / 95.31 / 83.50
	With ESE	59.42 / 55.17 / 48.01	48.83 / 49.47 / 44.06	72.14 / 94.77/ 72.94
FiLM	No ESE	55.33 / 55.57 / 50.98	47.45 / 48.60 / 45.79	83.64 / 95.14 / 80.77
	With ESE	57.93 / 55.31 / 46.94	**45.83** / 49.66 / 43.22	**69.73** / 95.54 / 72.12
SCINet	No ESE	58.94 / 59.80 / 60.33	54.79 / 51.31 / 55.74	81.74 / 95.14 / 85.91
	With ESE	58.88 / 54.12 / **46.34**	46.73 / 48.14 / **41.64**	71.06 / **90.12** / **71.46**
DeepAR	No ESE	61.78 / 54.64 / 61.74	50.74 / 50.31 / 56.41	81.74 / 95.65 / 85.93
	With ESE	60.03 / 52.43 / 48.52	48.34 / 51.02 / 43.82	75.06 / 95.14 / 73.64
KVAE	No ESE	54.36 / 52.41 / 49.74	45.96 / 50.34 / 41.90	78.71 / 92.14 / 77.06
	With ESE	58.22 / **52.11** / 47.42	46.56 / 51.32 / 43.77	72.41 / 93.03 / 73.92
TPGNN	No ESE	**53.74** / 56.65 / 52.31	48.71 / 54.79 / 45.70	83.44 / 94.65 / 79.74
	With ESE	57.65 / 55.16 / 46.82	46.07 / 52.96 / 43.64	71.62 / 93.45 / 72.49
PatchTST	No ESE	55.43 / 54.49 / 50.74	49.34 / 53.35 / 42.94	86.41 / 89.86 / 79.46
	With ESE	59.12 / 52.58 / 46.54	48.49 / **47.99** / 43.51	79.54 / 92.19 / 79.97

In Victoria, there are 79 municipalities. The Victorian government reports the epidemic status of these 79 regions daily. Hence each $\mathcal{ST} = \gamma_{1:n}$ and $\mathcal{ES} = \gamma_{1:n}^*$ contain 79 systems respectively. To verify the prediction results under different granularity, we merged the 79 regions into 20 systems and also divided these regions into 320 systems, according to postcodes. The rules are that (1) merged regions must be geographically adjacent; (2) the total population of the merged regions cannot be higher than twice that of any neighboring regions; (3) the merged attribute data is the sum of the merging regions. At the level of 320 regions, the only attributes are population and band.

Twelve SOTA predictors are involved in this part of comparison: six used for synthetic data, plus VAR Hyndman & Athanasopoulos (2018), Nlinear Zeng et al. (2023), FiLM Zhou et al. (2022), SCINet Liu et al. (2022a), KVAE Tang & Matteson (2021), and TPGNN Liu et al. (2022b). Three metrics are in use: RMSE, MAE and DILATE Le Guen & Thome (2019). Their prediction performance on three levels of granularity, 20 regions, 79 regions and 320 regions, is shown in Table 2. Note VAR can predict multiple systems based on cross-system correlation so not suitable to be combined with ESE. Overall, ESE shows excellent performance as **(1)** ESE improves SOTA performance in most cases; **(2)** the best results of each column are mostly with ESE, except RMSE of 20 regions, topped by TPGNN alone; **((3)** ESE alone outperforms other predictors alone in many cases, especially under 320 regions. The full comparisons in RMSE, MAE, and DILATE, are viewable in **Appendix J.1**. To further illustrate ESE's advantage over an increasing number of systems, we plot RMSE of these methods with 20, 79 and 320 regions in Figure 3. ESE can perform better with more regions. In comparison, other methods either deteriorate or do not improve as much. Another point

to highlight is that when combined with ESE, these 12 methods also show a similar trend as that of ESE alone. Figure 4 illustrates how ESE handles different input sizes, ranging from 10 to 100.It clearly shows that ESE can handle large inputs as most of the lowest RMSE with input over 50 are either from ESE or SOTA methods combined with ESE.

Figure 3: Comparing with 12 SOTA methods in RMSE on different numbers of systems, 20, 79 and 320 (input size = 50, step = 1).

Figure 4: Comparing with 12 SOTA methods in RMSE on different input sizes, 10, 20, 50 and 100 (79 regions, step = 1)

6 COMPUTATIONAL COST AND COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

ESE has a significant cost advantage in multi-system prediction as it requires no repetition for predicting each system separately. Table 3 shows the computational cost of ESE vs. 12 SOTA methods for predicting 20/79/320 regions with input lengths of 10, 20, 50 and 100 respectively. The full comparison on costs is in **Appendix J.2**. ESE's cost is significantly lower than other methods, especially with longer inputs and more regions. Note, ARIMIA is based on least squares Singh et al. (2020), hence of low cost, but still slower than ESE on 320 regions. More importantly, ESE can greatly reduce costs for all these twelve methods when combined. In the case of FiLM and SCINet on 320 regions, the acceleration enabled by ESE is 70+ times (bold in Table 3).

Section 4 shows there are no costly operations in ESE. As shown in Eq. 11, ψ_j and $\lambda_{i,j}$ reflect the number of systems and attributes respectively. Also, as shown in Line 3 of Algorithm 1, the number of iterations is proportional to time step t. That means Algorithm 1 is of linear complexity. The computational cost is linear to the number of systems, the number of attributes and the time steps. That is consistent with the analysis using COVID data, shown in Fig. 5. The X-axis represents the number of regions, ranging from 1 to 79, and the y-axis on the left represents the number of inputs, ranging from 5 to 100. All points are coloured in four bands. A similar linear trend can also be observed in the right of Fig. 5, which shows a linear increase in cost with the number of regions and the number of attributes.

7 CONCLUSION

This study proposes ESE, a new paradigm of prediction method to handle multi-system prediction. Unlike conventional methods, ESE is based on the concept of equilibrium. It does not treat multi-

Table 3: Comparing computational cost with 12 SOTA methods, with no ESE and with ESE, with 10, 20, 50, 100 steps of input, 1 step output, for 20/79/320 regions.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)			
		$InputLength = 10$	$InputLength = 20$	$InputLength = 50$	$InputLength = 100$
ESE	–	1.19 / 1.49 / 1.71	1.23 / 1.43 / 1.82	1.22 / 1.45 / 1.97	1.31 / 2.10 / 2.28
ARIMA	No ESE	0.18 / 0.70 / 2.81	0.22 / 0.89 / 3.59	0.27 / 1.09 / 4.11	0.33 / 1.31 / 5.07
	With ESE	1.20 / 1.50 / 1.72	1.24 / 1.44 / 1.83	1.23 / 1.46 / 1.99	1.33 / 2.12 / 2.30
LSTM	No ESE	5.06 / 20.68 / 86.88	6.14 / 27.76 / 109.29	7.77 / 33.81 / 131.74	9.23 / 39.96 / 149.26
	With ESE	1.46 / 1.77 / 1.98	1.57 / 1.74 / 2.13	1.61 / 1.84 / 2.41	1.80 / 2.59 / 2.72
Dlinear	No ESE	6.20 / 23.96 / 96.44	7.07 / 31.61 / 132.31	10.28 / 38.58 / 159.46	10.40 / 40.98 / 163.57
	With ESE	1.48 / 1.79 / 2.03	1.62 / 1.81 / 2.18	1.69 / 1.90 / 2.49	1.86 / 2.68 / 2.87
Nlinear	No ESE	6.04 / 24.91 / 99.01	7.40 / 31.37 / 135.11	9.57 / 37.83 / 155.00	11.56 / 45.67 / 160.09
	With ESE	1.50 / 1.81 / 2.01	1.60 / 1.80 / 2.23	1.72 / 1.93 / 2.41	1.87 / 2.70 / 2.86
Informer	No ESE	3.52 / 13.24 / 57.48	4.56 / 17.16 / 74.00	5.38 / 20.58 / 93.92	5.95 / 26.97 / 100.08
	With ESE	1.36 / 1.67 / 1.88	1.43 / 1.67 / 2.03	1.48 / 1.73 / 2.25	1.66 / 2.40 / 2.62
FiLM	No ESE	6.63 / 26.22 / 108.97	7.62 / 34.91 / 143.09	9.66 / 40.59 / **171.36**	11.70 / 48.55 / 181.06
	With ESE	1.50 / 1.82 / 2.02	1.65 / 1.87 / 2.24	1.73 / 1.96 / **2.44**	1.86 / 2.74 / 2.84
SCINet	No ESE	7.98 / 30.62 / 127.32	10.37 / 40.89 / 151.48	12.24 / 49.96 / 189.39	14.18 / 62.27 / **206.06**
	With ESE	1.57 / 1.88 / 2.12	1.70 / 1.92 / 2.31	1.78 / 2.11 / 2.60	2.04 / 2.82 / **2.94**
DeepAR	No ESE	5.11 / 19.57 / 76.72	6.16 / 23.56 / 94.99	7.34 / 31.55 / 131.00	9.22 / 37.25 / 130.67
	With ESE	1.43 / 1.74 / 1.95	1.52 / 1.72 / 2.15	1.63 / 1.87 / 2.39	1.77 / 2.52 / 2.73
KVAE	No ESE	4.37 / 17.03 / 67.34	5.21 / 22.17 / 90.23	7.19 / 28.31 / 96.23	6.80 / 32.41 / 109.62
	With ESE	1.41 / 1.71 / 1.92	1.49 / 1.69 / 2.07	1.53 / 1.78 / 2.30	1.67 / 2.50 / 2.63
TPGNN	No ESE	5.87 / 23.56 / 97.40	7.90 / 27.60 / 119.72	9.85 / 35.00 / 152.22	10.58 / 42.92 / 158.84
	With ESE	1.49 / 1.80 / 2.00	1.62 / 1.82 / 2.19	1.72 / 1.91 / 2.48	1.81 / 2.65 / 2.86
PatchTST	No ESE	3.71 / 15.55 / 61.08	4.60 / 18.68 / 82.90	5.85 / 23.96 / 94.47	6.92 / 27.70 / 109.89
	With ESE	1.38 / 1.69 / 1.90	1.48 / 1.69 / 2.08	1.53 / 1.78 / 2.29	1.79 / 2.46 / 2.66

Figure 5: Left: ESE's cost relative to the number of regions and the number of days (with 9 attributes). Right: to the number of regions and the number of attributes (input size = 150).

systems as multiple time series but as a body of interacting systems. By analyzing the equilibrium state holistically, ESE can forecast the development of the whole group and all the systems. Hence it can perform integrated multi-system prediction with just one run. More importantly, ESE can act alone or integrate with existing prediction methods. Our extensive experiments demonstrate its effectiveness on three sets of synthetic data and large real-world COVID-19 data. ESE can achieve an equivalent level of performance with SOTA methods but with much less cost. When integrated with other methods, ESE can improve performance yet significantly reduce the cost. Furthermore, it can easily handle different granularities, especially large-scale multi-systems with no negative impact on prediction performance, yet with no significant cost increase due to its low complexity.

Hence, we conclude that ESE is an effective and efficient integrated multi-system prediction mechanism. It can bring significant value to the real world, as it can be a powerful tool to predict not just COVID-19 but also other types of epidemic spreading and complex economic and finance analysis.

Further Discussion   ESE method does have limitations. (1) It is based on equilibrium, so when encountering a scenario with no equilibrium state, or the collected data are incomplete, ESE will not be suitable because Nash equilibrium and zero-sum conditions are not met. (2) ESE is more suitable for handling prediction with long inputs. For input of short-length, ESE may not be able to obtain sufficient information to estimate the equilibrium state, as shown in Appendix J.1, Tables 12 -15.

REFERENCES

Karim Maher Abadir. Cointegration theory, equilibrium and disequilibrium economics. *The Manchester School*, 72(1):60–71, 2004.

Allan Dahl Andersen and Frank W Geels. Multi-system dynamics and the speed of net-zero transitions: Identifying causal processes related to technologies, actors, and institutions. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 102:103178, 2023.

K. E. ArunKumar, Dinesh V. Kalaga, Ch. Mohan Sai Kumar, Govinda Chilkoor, Masahiro Kawaji, and Timothy M. Brenza. Forecasting the dynamics of cumulative covid-19 cases (confirmed, recovered and deaths) for top-16 countries using statistical machine learning models: Auto-regressive integrated moving average (arima) and seasonal auto-regressive integrated moving average (sarima). *Applied Soft Computing*, 103:107161 – 107161, 2021.

Shaojie Bai, J Zico Kolter, and Vladlen Koltun. Deep equilibrium models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 32, 2019.

Shaojie Bai, Vladlen Koltun, and J Zico Kolter. Multiscale deep equilibrium models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:5238–5250, 2020.

Shaojie Bai, Zhengyang Geng, Yash Savani, and J Zico Kolter. Deep equilibrium optical flow estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 620–630, 2022.

Anupam Kumar Bairagi, Mehedi Masud, Md Shirajum Munir, and Nahid. Controlling the outbreak of covid-19: A noncooperative game perspective. *Ieee Access*, 8:215570–215581, 2020.

Varnica Bajaj, Nirupa Gadi, Allison P. Spihlman, Samantha C. Wu, C. H. Choi, and Vaishali R. Moulton. Aging, immunity, and covid-19: How age influences the host immune response to coronavirus infections? *Frontiers in Physiology*, 11, 2021.

Omer Ben-Porat and Moshe Tennenholtz. Regression equilibrium. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, pp. 173–191, 2019.

Domenico Benvenuto, Marta Giovanetti, Lazzaro Vassallo, and Angeletti. Application of the arima model on the covid-2019 epidemic dataset. *Data in brief*, 29:105340, 2020.

Qian Chen, Uwe Kruger, and Andrew YT Leung. Cointegration testing method for monitoring nonstationary processes. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 48(7):3533–3543, 2009.

Richard Cole and Yixin Tao. Large market games with near optimal efficiency. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*, pp. 791–808, 2016.

Ian Cooper, Argha Mondal, and Antonopoulos. A sir model assumption for the spread of covid-19 in different communities. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 139:110057, 2020.

Domenico Cucinotta and Maurizio Vanelli. Who declares covid-19 a pandemic. *Acta Bio Medica : Atenei Parmensis*, 91:157 – 160, 2020.

Constantinos Daskalakis, Paul W Goldberg, and Papadimitriou. The complexity of computing a nash equilibrium. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 39(1):195–259, 2009.

Richard C Dicker, Fatima Coronado, Denise Koo, and R Gibson Parrish. Principles of epidemiology in public health practice; an introduction to applied epidemiology and biostatistics. 2006.

John Eatwell, Murray Milgate, and Peter Newman. *Game theory*. Springer, 1989.

Amir Hossein Emami, Fatemeh Javanmardi, Neda Pirbonyeh, and Ali Akbari. Prevalence of underlying diseases in hospitalized patients with covid-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine*, 8, 2020.

Walter Enders and Pierre L Siklos. Cointegration and threshold adjustment. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 19(2):166–176, 2001.

Lei Fang, George Karakiulakis, and Michael Roth. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for covid-19 infection? *The lancet respiratory medicine*, 8(4):e21, 2020.

Gabriele Farina and Tuomas Sandholm. Model-free online learning in unknown sequential decision making problems and games. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pp. 5381–5390, 2021.

Lin Feng, Ziren Chen, Harold A Lay Jr, Khaled M. Furati, and Abdul-Qayyum M. Khaliq. Data driven time-varying seir-lstm/gru algorithms to track the spread of covid-19. *Mathematical biosciences and engineering : MBE*, 19 9:8935–8962, 2022.

Wendell H Fleming and Raymond W Rishel. *Deterministic and stochastic optimal control*, volume 1. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

Dylan J Foster, Noah Golowich, and Sham M Kakade. Hardness of independent learning and sparse equilibrium computation in markov games. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.12287*, 2023.

Jiti Gao, Bin Peng, and Yayi Yan. Estimation, inference, and empirical analysis for time-varying var models. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 42(1):310–321, 2024.

David I Gilliland. A multi-system organizing framework for inter-firm control: a comprehensive perspective on control. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 51(1):66–85, 2023.

Lukas Graf, Tobias Harks, Kostas Kollias, and Michael Markl. Machine-learned prediction equilibrium for dynamic traffic assignment. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pp. 5059–5067, 2022.

Fatih Haslak, Kenan Barut, Cansu Durak, Ayten Aliyeva, Mehmet Yildiz, Vafa Guliyeva, Sevki Erdem Varol, Sinem Oral Cebeci, Fatih Aygun, Yusuf Ziya Varli, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of 76 patients with covid-19-related multi-system inflammatory syndrome in children. *Clinical Rheumatology*, 40:4167–4178, 2021.

Gabriella Hegyi, Gyula Vincze, and Szasz. On the dynamic equilibrium in homeostasis. *Open Journal of Biophysics*, 2(3):60–67, 2012.

Douglas J Hemingway and Isamu Matsuyama. Isostatic equilibrium in spherical coordinates and implications for crustal thickness on the moon, mars, enceladus, and elsewhere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(15):7695–7705, 2017.

Rui Huang, Miao Liu, and Yongmei Ding. Spatial-temporal distribution of covid-19 in china and its prediction: A data-driven modeling analysis. *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, 14(03):246–253, 2020.

Rob J Hyndman and George Athanasopoulos. *Forecasting: principles and practice*. OTexts, 2018.

Fatemeh Javanmardi, Abdolkhalegh Keshavarzi, Ali Akbari, Amir Hossein Emami, and Neda Pirbonyeh. Prevalence of underlying diseases in died cases of covid-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*, 15, 2020.

Jayson S Jia, Xin Lu, Yun Yuan, Ge Xu, and Jia. Population flow drives spatio-temporal distribution of covid-19 in china. *Nature*, 582(7812):389–394, 2020.

Shwet Ketu and Pramod Kumar Mishra. India perspective: Cnn-lstm hybrid deep learning model-based covid-19 prediction and current status of medical resource availability. *Soft Computing*, 26: 645 – 664, 2021.

David M Kreps. Nash equilibrium. In *Game Theory*, pp. 167–177. Springer, 1989.

Munish Kumar, Surbhi Gupta, Krishan Kumar, and Sachdeva. Spreading of covid-19 in india, italy, japan, spain, uk, us: a prediction using arima and lstm model. *Digital Government: Research and Practice*, 1(4):1–9, 2020.

Pedro Almeida Laires, Sónia Dias, Ana Gama, Marta Moniz, Ana R Pedro, and Soares. The association between chronic disease and serious covid-19 outcomes and its influence on risk perception: survey study and database analysis. *JMIR public health and surveillance*, 7(1):e22794, 2021.

Vincent Le Guen and Nicolas Thome. Shape and time distortion loss for training deep time series forecasting models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.

Vincent Le Guen and Nicolas Thome. Probabilistic time series forecasting with shape and temporal diversity. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:4427–4440, 2020.

Hongdou Li, Shuang Wang, Fan Zhong, Wuyin Bao, Yipeng Li, and Liu. Age-dependent risks of incidence and mortality of covid-19 in hubei province and other parts of china. *Frontiers in medicine*, 7:190, 2020.

Minhao Liu, Ailing Zeng, Muxi Chen, Zhijian Xu, Qiuxia Lai, Lingna Ma, and Qiang Xu. Scinet: Time series modeling and forecasting with sample convolution and interaction. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5816–5828, 2022a.

Yijing Liu, Qinxian Liu, Jian-Wei Zhang, Haozhe Feng, Zhongwei Wang, Zihan Zhou, and Wei Chen. Multivariate time-series forecasting with temporal polynomial graph neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:19414–19426, 2022b.

Daiki Maki and Shin-ichi Kitasaka. The equilibrium relationship among money, income, prices, and interest rates: evidence from a threshold cointegration test. *Applied Economics*, 38(13): 1585–1592, 2006.

David Morin. *Introduction to classical mechanics: with problems and solutions*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.

Michael A Munga and Ottar Mæstad. Measuring inequalities in the distribution of health workers: the case of tanzania. *Human resources for health*, 7(1):1–12, 2009.

Anna Nagurney and Salarpour. Competition for medical supplies under stochastic demand in the covid-19 pandemic: A generalized nash equilibrium framework. In *Nonlinear Analysis and Global Optimization*, pp. 331–356. Springer, 2021.

Yuqi Nie, Nam H. Nguyen, Phanwadee Sinthong, and Jayant Kalagnanam. A time series is worth 64 words: Long-term forecasting with transformers. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.

Nahla F. Omran, Sara F. Abd el Ghany, Hager Saleh, Abdelmgeid A. Ali, Abdu H. Gumaei, and Mabrook S. Al-Rakhami. Applying deep learning methods on time-series data for forecasting covid-19 in egypt, kuwait, and saudi arabia. *Complex.*, 2021:6686745:1–6686745:13, 2021.

Gaetano Perone. Comparison of arima, ets, nnar, tbats and hybrid models to forecast the second wave of covid-19 hospitalizations in italy. *The European Journal of Health Economics*, 23:917 – 940, 2020.

Barry M Popkin, Shufa Du, William D Green, Melinda A Beck, Taghred Algaith, Christopher H Herbst, and Alsukait. Individuals with obesity and covid-19: a global perspective on the epidemiology and biological relationships. *Obesity Reviews*, 21(11):e13128, 2020.

Franciel Eduardo Rex, Cléber Augusto de Souza Borges, and Käfer. Spatial analysis of the covid-19 distribution pattern in são paulo state, brazil. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, 25:3377–3384, 2020.

Safiya Richardson, Jamie S. Hirsch, Mangala Narasimhan, James M. Crawford, Thomas G. McGinn, Karina W. Davidson, Douglas P. Barnaby, Lance B. Becker, John Chelico, Stuart Lance Cohen, Jennifer Cookingham, Kevin Coppa, Michael A Diefenbach, Andrew J. Dominello, Joan Duer-Hefele, Louise Falzon, Jordan S. Gitlin, Negin Hajizadeh, Tiffany G Harvin, David Hirschwerk, Eun Ji Kim, Zachary M. Kozel, Lyndonna M. Marrast, Jazmin N. Mogavero, Gabrielle A. Osorio, Michael Qiu, and Theodoros P. Zanos. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with covid-19 in the new york city area. *JAMA*, 2020.

Anna Maria Rychter, Agnieszka Zawada, Alicja Ewa Ratajczak, and Dobrowolska. Should patients with obesity be more afraid of covid-19? *Obesity reviews*, 21(9):e13083, 2020.

Sweeti Sah, B Surendiran, Ramasamy Dhanalakshmi, Sachi Nandan Mohanty, Fayadh S. Alenezi, and Kemal Polat. Forecasting covid-19 pandemic using prophet, arima, and hybrid stacked lstm-gru models in india. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 2022, 2022.

Farah Shahid, Aneela Zameer, and Muneeb. Predictions for covid-19 with deep learning models of lstm, gru and bi-lstm. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 140:110212, 2020.

Rishi Raj Sharma, Mohit Kumar, Shishir Maheshwari, and Kamla Prasan Ray. Evdhm-arima-based time series forecasting model and its application for covid-19 cases. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 70:1–10, 2021.

Syafruddin Side and Salmi Md Noorani. A sir model for spread of dengue fever disease (simulation for south sulawesi, indonesia and selangor, malaysia). *World Journal of Modelling and Simulation*, 9(2):96–105, 2013.

Sarbjit Singh, Kulwinder Singh Parmar, Sidhu Jitendra Singh Makkhan, Jatinder Kaur, Shruti Peshoria, and Jatinder Kumar. Study of arima and least square support vector machine (ls-svm) models for the prediction of sars-cov-2 confirmed cases in the most affected countries. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 139:110086, 2020.

Binh Tang and David S Matteson. Probabilistic transformer for time series analysis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:23592–23608, 2021.

John Von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern. Theory of games and economic behavior: 60th anniversary commemorative edition. In *Theory of games and economic behavior*. Princeton university press, 2007.

Beinan Xu and Andy Song. Modelling eye-gaze movement using gaussian auto-regression hidden markov. In *Australasian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 190–202. Springer, 2022.

Zonghan Yang, Peng Li, Tianyu Pang, and Yang Liu. Improving adversarial robustness of deep equilibrium models with explicit regulations along the neural dynamics. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 39349–39364. PMLR, 2023.

Chaohui Yin, Qingsong He, Yanfang Liu, and Chen. Inequality of public health and its role in spatial accessibility to medical facilities in china. *Applied Geography*, 92:50–62, 2018.

Ailing Zeng, Muxi Chen, Lei Zhang, and Qiang Xu. Are transformers effective for time series forecasting? In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 37, pp. 11121–11128, 2023.

Haoyi Zhou, Shanghang Zhang, Jieqi Peng, Shuai Zhang, Jianxin Li, Hui Xiong, and Wancai Zhang. Informer: Beyond efficient transformer for long sequence time-series forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 35, pp. 11106–11115, 2021.

Tian Zhou, Ziqing Ma, Qingsong Wen, Liang Sun, Tao Yao, Wotao Yin, Rong Jin, et al. Film: Frequency improved legendre memory model for long-term time series forecasting. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:12677–12690, 2022.

A THE PROOF PROCESS OF REMOVING THE INTERFERENCE CAUSED BY THE TREND OF INTEGRATED MULTI-SYSTEM

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle \mathcal{MS}_t &= \mathcal{MS}_t - \mathcal{MS}_{t-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i,t} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i,t-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i,t} \mathcal{MS}_t - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i,t-1} \mathcal{MS}_{t-1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

where $\triangle \mathcal{MS}_t$ is the change in the system. According to Equation 16, no matter how $\mathcal{MS}_t$ changes, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i,t}$ must and always equals to 1.

B THE RELATED CONCEPTS OF EQUILIBRIUM STATE IN ESE

The concept of Nash equilibrium in this study differs from the original definition. If we directly use the original definition, Equation 6 needs to be extended as follows (for a scenario with only two players),

$$
U_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=1}^{K} u_1(\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,k}) \theta_{1,j} \phi_{1,k}
\tag{17}
$$

$$
U_2(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) = \sum_{o=1}^{l} \sum_{p=1}^{m} u_2(\alpha_{1,o}, \alpha_{2,p}) \theta_{2,o} \phi_{2,p}
\tag{18}
$$

where $U_i()$ is the payoff function for player i under multiple decisions. $\mathcal{A}_1$ is the decision set of player 1, containing n different decisions, $(\alpha_{1,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{1,l})$. $\mathcal{A}_2$ is the decision set of player 2, containing m different decisions, $(\alpha_{2,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2,m})$. Any $\alpha_{1,o}$ is not independent of any $\alpha_{1,p}$, that is, $\alpha_{1,o}$ may trigger any $\alpha_{1,p}$ under different probabilities, vice versa.

Since every decision or attribute of each player will have an impact on all decisions or attributes of other players, regardless of the number of players or attributes, the computational complexity of equilibrium evaluation is quadratic $O(N^2)$. For example, if the number of players increases to 3 but the number of attributes remains the same, the previous formula will need to be rewritten as:

$$
U_1(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3) = \sum_{o=1}^{l} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} u_1(\alpha_{1,l}, \alpha_{2,m}, \alpha_{1,n}) \theta_{1,l} \phi_{1,m} \pi_{1,n}
\tag{19}
$$

$$
U_2(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3) = \sum_{o=1}^{l} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} u_2(\alpha_{1,l}, \alpha_{2,m}, \alpha_{1,n}) \theta_{2,l} \phi_{2,m} \pi_{2,n}
\tag{20}
$$

$$
U_3(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3) = \sum_{o=1}^{l} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} u_3(\alpha_{1,l}, \alpha_{2,m}, \alpha_{1,n}) \theta_{3,l} \phi_{3,m} \pi_{3,n}
\tag{21}
$$

Our approach is rather different as we added Definition 2, zero-sum assumption and the L parameter set. So the attributes of each player not only need to be the same, but also are set in a zero-sum game. The change of an attribute is the sum of the changes of other attributes, as shown in Equation 10. The L parameter set is introduced to store information that cannot be learned through attributes, namely the difference between the equilibrium parameter value ES and the true state parameter. The closest state to cointegration is obtained when L converges.

Because of our new way of utilizing equilibrium, typical equilibrium constraints are no longer applicable. Therefore we define the new equilibrium constraints in Section 2. If we do not allow

changes, the computational cost will sharply increase with the increase in the number of players and attributes, in a manner of quadratic complexity as described above.

C   ESE CONVERGENCE PROCESS

Figure 6: Convergence of ESE training on Synthetic Data, 20 Systems. The blue line represents the p-values obtained at each step of ESE training. The red dotted line represents a p-value of 0.05, the threshold for rejecting the null hypothesis for the existence of a long-run equilibrium.

Figures 6 and 7 show the analysis on the convergence during ESE training, on synthetic data and COVID data respectively. The p-values are from the cointegration test (Step 2, Algorithm 1), where the null hypothesis is rejected if the value is lower than 0.05. For illustration purposes, we allow the convergence continues beyond 0.05 on these two figures. During an actual training, it will stop once the p-value reaches 0.05, showing the existence of a long-run equilibrium. From the figures we can see the ESE convergence process is steady and effective. With this, we don't need to be too concerned about stochastic Fleming & Rishel (2012) and oscillation behaviors Morin (2008) which can often be observed in real world data, like COVID. More details about conintegration and long-run equilibrium can be found in Maki & Kitasaka (2006); Chen et al. (2009).

Figure 7: Convergence of ESE training on COVID-19 Data, 79 Systems. The blue line represents p-values obtained from the cointegration test at each step of ESE training. The red dotted line is the threshold for rejecting the null hypothesis for the existence of a long-run equilibrium.

D PREDICTOR

Equations 22, 23, 24 show the estimation process of Equation 15 by log maximum likelihood.

$$\mathcal{MS}_{t+i} = \theta_{t+i}\mathcal{MS}_t + e_{t+i},$$

$$e_{t+i} \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2); \ \ \mathcal{MS}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{t,i} \tag{22}$$

$$\hat{\theta_{mle}} = argmax \ logL(\theta), \tag{23}$$

$$L(\theta) \overset{def}{=} p(\mathcal{MS}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{MS}_k|\theta) =$$

$$p(\mathcal{MS}_1) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{k-1} exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{t=2}^{k}(\mathcal{MS}_t - \theta\mathcal{MS}_{t-1})^2\right\}, \tag{24}$$

$$logL(\theta) =$$

$$logp(\mathcal{MS}_1) - (k-1)log(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mathcal{MS}_t - \theta\mathcal{MS}_{t-1})^2$$

where $\mathcal{MS}_t$ is the total value of the system at time t. θ_t is the parameter of the model at time t, which was estimated by log maximum likelihood.

E   SYNTHETIC DATA

To validate ESE, three systems are synthesized, consisting of 5, 10, and 20 systems respectively. Each system contains a series of targets and two series of attributes for 1000 time points. They are generated by Equation 25,

$$y_t = log(C + \beta y_{t-1} + e_t) \tag{25}$$

where β is an adjustable coefficient, with a value of $\beta = 1.2$ in this study. C is the intercept, which is randomly chosen from $[50, 100]$ for the target and from $[1, 10]$ for the attributes. To add some white noise, a random number (e_t) is added in the range of $[-1, 1]$ based on Gaussian distribution.

F   FULL COMPARISONS ON SYNTHETIC DATA

F.1   THE COMPUTATIONAL COST (MINUTE) FOR PREDICTING SYNTHETIC DATA

Table 4: Comparison of prediction results for 5/10/20 systems by using synthetic data. RMSE and MAE are means of prediction results based on different input lengths (10,20,50), and the prediction target is fixed at 1 step.

Models		Metric	Predicting 1 Step		
			Input Length = 10	*Input Length = 20*	*Input Length = 50*
ESE		RMSE	0.246±0.01 / 0.246±0.01 / 0.245±0.01	0.252±0.01 / 0.248±0.01 / 0.255±0.01	0.295±0.01 / 0.270±0.01 / 0.282±0.01
		MAE	0.208±0.01 / 0.207±0.01 / 0.207±0.01	0.210±0.01 / 0.228±0.01 / 0.216±0.01	0.229±0.01 / 0.261±0.01 / 0.257±0.01
ARIMA	No ESE	RMSE	0.241±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.241±0.01	0.243±0.02 / 0.249±0.02 / 0.262±0.01	0.276±0.01 / 0.289±0.01 / 0.314±0.02
		MAE	0.222±0.01 / 0.222±0.01 / 0.222±0.01	0.229±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.235±0.01	0.248±0.01 / 0.283±0.01 / 0.246±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.243±0.02 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.239±0.02	0.240±0.02 / 0.247±0.01 / 0.261±0.02	0.276±0.02 / 0.291±0.01 / 0.312±0.02
		MAE	0.221±0.01 / 0.220±0.01 / 0.223±0.01	0.228±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.233±0.01	0.247±0.01 / 0.285±0.01 / 0.248±0.01
LSTM	No ESE	RMSE	0.250±0.01 / 0.250±0.01 / 0.260±0.01	0.258±0.01 / 0.263±0.01 / 0.284±0.01	0.298±0.01 / 0.297±0.01 / 0.297±0.01
		MAE	0.203±0.01 / 0.203±0.01 / 0.202±0.01	0.212±0.01 / 0.216±0.01 / 0.216±0.01	0.226±0.01 / 0.245±0.01 / 0.247±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.249±0.01 / 0.250±0.01 / 0.259±0.01	0.255±0.01 / 0.263±0.01 / 0.280±0.01	0.298±0.01 / 0.296±0.01 / 0.296±0.01
		MAE	0.204±0.01 / 0.202±0.01 / 0.202±0.01	0.212±0.01 / 0.212±0.01 / 0.213±0.01	0.224±0.01 / 0.247±0.01 / 0.246±0.01
Dlinear	No ESE	RMSE	0.244±0.01 / 0.244±0.01 / 0.243±0.01	0.257±0.01 / 0.256±0.01 / 0.264±0.01	0.309±0.01 / 0.289±0.01 / 0.267±0.01
		MAE	0.203±0.01 / 0.205±0.01 / 0.211±0.01	0.214±0.01 / 0.221±0.01 / 0.204±0.01	0.223±0.01 / 0.230±0.01 / 0.234±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.242±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.245±0.01	0.254±0.01 / 0.264±0.01 / 0.260±0.01	0.309±0.01 / 0.287±0.01 / 0.265±0.01
		MAE	0.215±0.01 / 0.214±0.01 / 0.203±0.01	0.215±0.01 / 0.221±0.01 / 0.204±0.01	0.225±0.01 / 0.232±0.01 / 0.232±0.01
Informer	No ESE	RMSE	0.243±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.249±0.01	0.248±0.01 / 0.244±0.01 / 0.252±0.01	0.283±0.01 / 0.283±0.01 / 0.281±0.01
		MAE	0.225±0.01 / 0.237±0.01 / 0.233±0.01	0.213±0.01 / 0.236±0.01 / 0.245±0.01	0.227±0.01 / 0.278±0.01 / 0.268±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.242±0.01 / 0.242±0.01 / 0.251±0.01	0.246±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.251±0.01	0.283±0.01 / 0.282±0.01 / 0.279±0.01
		MAE	0.226±0.01 / 0.237±0.01 / 0.246±0.01	0.239±0.01 / 0.232±0.01 / 0.234±0.01	0.228±0.01 / 0.256±0.01 / 0.271±0.01
DeepAR	No ESE	RMSE	0.248±0.01 / 0.248±0.01 / 0.255±0.01	0.252±0.01 / 0.271±0.01 / 0.279±0.01	0.281±0.01 / 0.215±0.01 / 0.335±0.01
		MAE	0.203±0.01 / 0.204±0.01 / 0.209±0.01	0.215±0.01 / 0.218±0.01 / 0.214±0.01	0.251±0.01 / 0.244±0.01 / 0.257±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.249±0.01 / 0.250±0.01 / 0.257±0.01	0.248±0.01 / 0.271±0.01 / 0.278±0.01	0.280±0.01 / 0.314±0.01 / 0.334±0.01
		MAE	0.202±0.01 / 0.205±0.01 / 0.204±0.01	0.214±0.01 / 0.210±0.01 / 0.211±0.01	0.253±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.256±0.01
PatchTST	No ESE	RMSE	0.241±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.241±0.01	0.251±0.01 / 0.262±0.01 / 0.247±0.01	0.294±0.01 / 0.263±0.01 / 0.291±0.01
		MAE	0.207±0.01 / 0.207±0.01 / 0.208±0.01	0.208±0.01 / 0.219±0.01 / 0.225±0.01	0.211±0.01 / 0.233±0.01 / 0.229±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.240±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.243±0.01	0.250±0.01 / 0.260±0.01 / 0.246±0.01	0.292±0.01 / 0.261±0.01 / 0.291±0.01
		MAE	0.209±0.01 / 0.208±0.01 / 0.208±0.01	0.207±0.01 / 0.218±0.01 / 0.225±0.01	0.211±0.01 / 0.232±0.01 / 0.229±0.01

Table 5: Comparison of prediction results for 5/10/20 systems by using synthetic data. RMSE and MAE are means of prediction results based on different input lengths (10,20,50), and the prediction target is fixed at 2 steps.

Models		Metric	Predicting 2 Steps		
			Input Length = 10	Input Length = 20	Input Length = 50
ESE		RMSE	0.269±0.01 / 0.267±0.01 / 0.266±0.01	0.284±0.01 / 0.276±0.01 / 0.285±0.01	0.336±0.01 / 0.283±0.01 / 0.320±0.01
		MAE	0.218±0.01 / 0.218±0.01 / 0.215±0.01	0.234±0.01 / 0.236±0.01 / 0.228±0.01	0.264±0.01 / 0.266±0.01 / 0.266±0.01
ARIMA	No ESE	RMSE	0.254±0.01 / 0.244±0.01 / 0.253±0.02	0.246±0.01 / 0.256±0.02 / 0.258±0.02	0.293±0.02 / 0.270±0.01 / 0.279±0.02
		MAE	0.216±0.01 / 0.215±0.01 / 0.213±0.01	0.235±0.01 / 0.220±0.01 / 0.221±0.01	0.246±0.01 / 0.235±0.01 / 0.261±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.258±0.01 / 0.256±0.02 / 0.255±0.02	0.259±0.01 / 0.253±0.01 / 0.256±0.02	0.290±0.01 / 0.272±0.02 / 0.281±0.02
		MAE	0.218±0.01 / 0.215±0.01 / 0.216±0.01	0.234±0.01 / 0.219±0.01 / 0.217±0.01	0.245±0.01 / 0.233±0.01 / 0.263±0.01
LSTM	No ESE	RMSE	0.269±0.01 / 0.267±0.01 / 0.266±0.01	0.292±0.01 / 0.282±0.01 / 0.278±0.01	0.337±0.01 / 0.290±0.01 / 0.304±0.01
		MAE	0.215±0.01 / 0.214±0.01 / 0.213±0.01	0.226±0.01 / 0.223±0.01 / 0.219±0.01	0.268±0.01 / 0.266±0.01 / 0.252±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.270±0.01 / 0.267±0.01 / 0.269±0.01	0.289±0.01 / 0.277±0.01 / 0.275±0.01	0.341±0.01 / 0.292±0.01 / 0.305±0.01
		MAE	0.215±0.01 / 0.217±0.01 / 0.216±0.01	0.222±0.01 / 0.221±0.01 / 0.215±0.01	0.268±0.01 / 0.264±0.01 / 0.243±0.01
Dlinear	No ESE	RMSE	0.257±0.01 / 0.251±0.01 / 0.250±0.01	0.281±0.01 / 0.259±0.01 / 0.272±0.01	0.282±0.01 / 0.268±0.01 / 0.296±0.01
		MAE	0.214±0.01 / 0.224±0.01 / 0.213±0.01	0.226±0.01 / 0.219±0.01 / 0.216±0.01	0.256±0.01 / 0.244±0.01 / 0.246±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.260±0.01 / 0.256±0.01 / 0.253±0.01	0.278±0.01 / 0.256±0.01 / 0.268±0.01	0.284±0.01 / 0.268±0.01 / 0.295±0.01
		MAE	0.215±0.01 / 0.214±0.01 / 0.213±0.01	0.227±0.01 / 0.216±0.01 / 0.214±0.01	0.255±0.01 / 0.245±0.01 / 0.246±0.01
Informer	No ESE	RMSE	0.254±0.01 / 0.244±0.01 / 0.253±0.01	0.272±0.01 / 0.273±0.01 / 0.270±0.01	0.293±0.01 / 0.316±0.01 / 0.283±0.01
		MAE	0.218±0.01 / 0.217±0.01 / 0.227±0.01	0.228±0.01 / 0.218±0.01 / 0.234±0.01	0.262±0.01 / 0.235±0.01 / 0.242±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.255±0.01 / 0.254±0.01 / 0.258±0.01	0.270±0.01 / 0.266±0.01 / 0.276±0.01	0.296±0.01 / 0.318±0.01 / 0.283±0.01
		MAE	0.218±0.01 / 0.221±0.01 / 0.222±0.01	0.226±0.01 / 0.217±0.01 / 0.231±0.01	0.261±0.01 / 0.236±0.01 / 0.245±0.01
DeepAR	No ESE	RMSE	0.249±0.01 / 0.257±0.01 / 0.255±0.01	0.250±0.01 / 0.266±0.01 / 0.260±0.01	0.277±0.01 / 0.275±0.01 / 0.299±0.01
		MAE	0.212±0.01 / 0.211±0.01 / 0.206±0.01	0.223±0.01 / 0.214±0.01 / 0.226±0.01	0.244±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.238±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.251±0.01 / 0.258±0.01 / 0.248±0.01	0.246±0.01 / 0.264±0.01 / 0.259±0.01	0.276±0.01 / 0.286±0.01 / 0.302±0.01
		MAE	0.215±0.01 / 0.214±0.01 / 0.212±0.01	0.209±0.01 / 0.220±0.01 / 0.225±0.01	0.253±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.237±0.01
PatchTST	No ESE	RMSE	0.254±0.01 / 0.253±0.01 / 0.255±0.01	0.260±0.01 / 0.260±0.01 / 0.263±0.01	0.289±0.01 / 0.278±0.01 / 0.286±0.01
		MAE	0.212±0.01 / 0.216±0.01 / 0.216±0.01	0.217±0.01 / 0.224±0.01 / 0.229±0.01	0.229±0.01 / 0.242±0.01 / 0.250±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.253±0.01 / 0.251±0.01 / 0.258±0.01	0.258±0.01 / 0.258±0.01 / 0.263±0.01	0.290±0.01 / 0.278±0.01 / 0.283±0.01
		MAE	0.211±0.01 / 0.216±0.01 / 0.216±0.01	0.217±0.01 / 0.225±0.01 / 0.228±0.01	0.228±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.249±0.01

Table 6: Comparison of prediction results for 5/10/20 systems by using synthetic data. RMSE and MAE are means of prediction results based on different input lengths (10,20,50), and the prediction target is fixed at 5 steps.

Models		Metric	Predicting 5 Steps		
			Input Length = 10	Input Length = 20	Input Length = 50
ESE		RMSE	0.277±0.01 / 0.270±0.01 / 0.267±0.01	0.286±0.01 / 0.280±0.01 / 0.289±0.01	0.317±0.01 / 0.312±0.01 / 0.340±0.01
		MAE	0.223±0.01 / 0.223±0.01 / 0.232±0.01	0.241±0.01 / 0.256±0.01 / 0.249±0.01	0.266±0.01 / 0.268±0.01 / 0.267±0.01
ARIMA	No ESE	RMSE	0.280±0.01 / 0.277±0.01 / 0.271±0.02	0.283±0.01 / 0.295±0.01 / 0.294±0.02	0.337±0.02 / 0.353±0.01 / 0.304±0.02
		MAE	0.231±0.01 / 0.230±0.01 / 0.229±0.01	0.243±0.01 / 0.237±0.01 / 0.259±0.01	0.303±0.01 / 0.264±0.01 / 0.278±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.280±0.01 / 0.286±0.02 / 0.280±0.02	0.293±0.01 / 0.291±0.02 / 0.280±0.02	0.323±0.02 / 0.341±0.02 / 0.291±0.02
		MAE	0.234±0.01 / 0.239±0.01 / 0.230±0.01	0.243±0.01 / 0.233±0.01 / 0.248±0.01	0.288±0.01 / 0.254±0.01 / 0.270±0.01
LSTM	No ESE	RMSE	0.271±0.01 / 0.261±0.01 / 0.271±0.01	0.292±0.01 / 0.284±0.01 / 0.286±0.01	0.335±0.01 / 0.351±0.01 / 0.302±0.01
		MAE	0.232±0.01 / 0.228±0.01 / 0.232±0.01	0.240±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.252±0.01	0.275±0.01 / 0.251±0.01 / 0.268±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.276±0.01 / 0.271±0.01 / 0.266±0.01	0.287±0.01 / 0.279±0.01 / 0.274±0.01	0.319±0.01 / 0.335±0.01 / 0.289±0.01
		MAE	0.233±0.01 / 0.237±0.01 / 0.235±0.01	0.236±0.01 / 0.238±0.01 / 0.248±0.01	0.265±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.260±0.01
Dlinear	No ESE	RMSE	0.272±0.01 / 0.270±0.01 / 0.271±0.01	0.296±0.01 / 0.286±0.01 / 0.302±0.01	0.339±0.01 / 0.341±0.01 / 0.357±0.02
		MAE	0.231±0.01 / 0.233±0.01 / 0.238±0.01	0.246±0.01 / 0.242±0.01 / 0.255±0.01	0.267±0.01 / 0.260±0.01 / 0.273±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.277±0.01 / 0.275±0.01 / 0.272±0.01	0.293±0.01 / 0.293±0.01 / 0.289±0.01	0.323±0.01 / 0.327±0.01 / 0.343±0.01
		MAE	0.234±0.01 / 0.236±0.01 / 0.238±0.01	0.244±0.01 / 0.238±0.01 / 0.245±0.01	0.256±0.01 / 0.249±0.01 / 0.262±0.01
Informer	No ESE	RMSE	0.264±0.01 / 0.274±0.01 / 0.254±0.01	0.278±0.01 / 0.282±0.01 / 0.311±0.01	0.328±0.01 / 0.322±0.01 / 0.339±0.01
		MAE	0.280±0.01 / 0.278±0.01 / 0.279±0.01	0.287±0.01 / 0.281±0.01 / 0.298±0.01	0.318±0.01 / 0.310±0.01 / 0.327±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.233±0.01 / 0.222±0.01 / 0.232±0.01	0.246±0.01 / 0.251±0.01 / 0.261±0.01	0.291±0.01 / 0.290±0.01 / 0.268±0.01
		MAE	0.237±0.01 / 0.235±0.01 / 0.236±0.01	0.245±0.01 / 0.246±0.01 / 0.249±0.01	0.278±0.01 / 0.279±0.01 / 0.256±0.01
DeepAR	No ESE	RMSE	0.261±0.01 / 0.271±0.01 / 0.273±0.01	0.278±0.01 / 0.288±0.01 / 0.304±0.01	0.329±0.01 / 0.357±0.01 / 0.326±0.01
		MAE	0.232±0.01 / 0.237±0.01 / 0.231±0.01	0.248±0.01 / 0.243±0.01 / 0.254±0.01	0.265±0.01 / 0.274±0.01 / 0.291±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.273±0.02 / 0.262±0.02 / 0.272±0.02	0.278±0.02 / 0.284±0.02 / 0.287±0.02	0.317±0.02 / 0.343±0.02 / 0.310±0.02
		MAE	0.234±0.01 / 0.233±0.01 / 0.231±0.02	0.244±0.01 / 0.241±0.01 / 0.240±0.01	0.255±0.01 / 0.262±0.01 / 0.278±0.01
PatchTST	No ESE	RMSE	0.277±0.01 / 0.272±0.01 / 0.274±0.01	0.281±0.01 / 0.293±0.01 / 0.3287±0.01	0.304±0.01 / 0.295±0.01 / 0.340±0.01
		MAE	0.233±0.01 / 0.234±0.01 / 0.234±0.01	0.251±0.01 / 0.239±0.01 / 0.245±0.01	0.289±0.01 / 0.245±0.01 / 0.265±0.01
	With ESE	RMSE	0.278±0.01 / 0.274±0.01 / 0.272±0.01	0.284±0.01 / 0.293±0.01 / 0.287±0.01	0.305±0.01 / 0.297±0.01 / 0.340±0.01
		MAE	0.233±0.01 / 0.234±0.01 / 0.235±0.01	0.254±0.01 / 0.239±0.01 / 0.244±0.01	0.289±0.01 / 0.262±0.01 / 0.267±0.01

F.2 THE COMPUTATIONAL COST (MINUTE) FOR PREDICTING SYNTHETIC DATA

Table 7: Comparison of Computational Cost (minute) for 5/10/20 systems by using synthetic data. The results are based on different input lengths (10,20,50), and the prediction target is fixed at 1 step.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)		
		$Input\ Length = 10$	$Input\ Length = 20$	$Input\ Length = 50$
ESE		0.19 / 0.24 / 0.27	0.20 / 0.23 / 0.29	0.20 / 0.23 / 0.30
ARIMA	No ESE	0.04 / 0.09 / 0.17	0.04 / 0.09 / 0.17	0.04 / 0.08 / 0.18
	With ESE	0.20 / 0.25 / 0.28	0.21 / 0.24 / 0.30	0.21 / 0.24 / 0.31
LSTM	No ESE	1.27 / 2.34 / 5.00	1.22 / 2.47 / 4.69	1.18 / 2.35 / 4.94
	With ESE	0.44 / 0.47 / 0.52	0.44 / 0.48 / 0.53	0.43 / 0.47 / 0.55
Dlinear	No ESE	1.47 / 2.93 / 5.53	1.39 / 2.93 / 5.80	1.41 / 2.75 / 5.68
	With ESE	0.48 / 0.53 / 0.55	0.47 / 0.52 / 0.58	0.48 / 0.51 / 0.59
Informer	No ESE	0.90 / 1.71 / 3.44	0.86 / 1.69 / 3.40	0.83 / 1.66 / 3.38
	With ESE	0.37 / 0.41 / 0.45	0.37 / 0.40 / 0.46	0.36 / 0.40 / 0.47
DeepAR	No ESE	1.17 / 2.35 / 4.54	1.10 / 2.29 / 4.53	1.16 / 2.37 / 4.76
	With ESE	0.61 / 0.51 / 0.57	0.51 / 0.53 / 0.52	0.51 / 0.64 / 0.53
PatchTST	No ESE	0.90 / 1.87 / 3.78	0.90 / 1.84 / 3.70	0.94 / 1.83 / 3.67
	With ESE	0.37 / 0.43 / 0.46	0.38 / 0.41 / 0.48	0.38 / 0.42 / 0.49

Table 8: Comparison of Computational Cost (minute) for 5/10/20 systems by using synthetic data. The results are based on different input lengths (10,20,50) and the prediction target is fixed at 2 steps.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)		
		$Input\ Length = 10$	$Input\ Length = 20$	$Input\ Length = 50$
ESE		0.18 / 0.25 / 0.28	0.20 / 0.24 / 0.28	0.19 / 0.24 / 0.31
ARIMA	No ESE	0.04 / 0.09 / 0.18	0.04 / 0.09 / 0.17	0.04 / 0.08 / 0.17
	With ESE	0.19 / 0.26 / 0.29	0.21 / 0.25 / 0.29	0.20 / 0.25 / 0.32
LSTM	No ESE	1.27 / 2.50 / 4.76	1.28 / 2.37 / 5.10	1.21 / 2.47 / 4.89
	With ESE	0.43 / 0.50 / 0.51	0.46 / 0.48 / 0.54	0.43 / 0.48 / 0.56
Dlinear	No ESE	1.47 / 2.92 / 5.89	1.48 / 2.90 / 5.92	1.36 / 2.82 / 5.71
	With ESE	0.47 / 0.54 / 0.57	0.50 / 0.53 / 0.58	0.46 / 0.52 / 0.60
Informer	No ESE	0.88 / 1.80 / 3.31	0.90 / 1.76 / 3.51	0.84 / 1.68 / 3.49
	With ESE	0.36 / 0.43 / 0.44	0.38 / 0.42 / 0.46	0.36 / 0.41 / 0.48
DeepAR	No ESE	1.14 / 2.31 / 4.68	1.11 / 2.41 / 4.79	1.15 / 2.29 / 4.41
	With ESE	0.41 / 0.48 / 0.51	0.42 / 0.48 / 0.52	0.42 / 0.47 / 0.53
PatchTST	No ESE	0.97 / 1.82 / 3.67	0.95 / 1.91 / 3.59	0.97 / 1.96 / 3.63
	With ESE	0.37 / 0.43 / 0.46	0.39 / 0.43 / 0.46	0.38 / 0.43 / 0.49

G THE DATA OF COVID-19

"*NDC*" is the number of new cases on the day. "*PCR cases*" is the number of confirmed positive cases obtained through official tests. "*PCR test*" is the total number of tests on that day. "*RAT cases*" is the number of newly confirmed positive cases through rapid antigen tests. "*Hospitalisation*", "*ICU cases*" and "*On ventilation*" represent the number of cases in three statuses in hospitals. "*Active cases*" and "*Death*" are the total numbers of active cases and new deaths on that day in Victoria. Table 10 lists the collected data, which are the most direct indicators of the epidemic spreading status, all with timestamps. These data are numeric values manually collected on a daily basis, through the regular releases on the Victorian government data portals. Some of the attributes are for the entire state as well as different regions and suburbs, such as "*Active cases*". PCR cases are also categorized into different age groups. It should be noted that before February 4, 2022, the government only provided the daily regional new cases for PCR with full information, and the daily total new cases of the whole state for RAT but without the region, age, and other information. The details of processing RAT info are in **Appendix H**.

V1, V2, and V3 in Table 11 represent the vaccination rates of the first, second and third doses respectively for a particular region. In order to better quantify regional medical capacity as a regional

Table 9: Comparison of Computational Cost (minute) for 5/10/20 systems by using synthetic data. The results are based on different input lengths (10,20,50) and the prediction target is fixed at 5 steps.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)		
		$Input\ Length = 10$	$Input\ Length = 20$	$Input\ Length = 50$
ESE		0.20 / 0.25 / 0.29	0.20 / 0.24 / 0.33	0.21 / 0.24 / 0.35
ARIMA	No ESE	0.05 / 0.09 / 0.17	0.05 / 0.09 / 0.17	0.05 / 0.09 / 0.18
	With ESE	0.21 / 0.26 / 0.29	0.21 / 0.24 / 0.34	0.22 / 0.25 / 0.36
LSTM	No ESE	1.27 / 2.56 / 5.12	1.27 / 2.55 / 4.93	1.24 / 2.38 / 4.74
	With ESE	0.45 / 0.50 / 0.54	0.46 / 0.49 / 0.58	0.46 / 0.48 / 0.59
Dlinear	No ESE	1.40 / 2.80 / 5.55	1.46 / 2.99 / 5.91	1.41 / 2.87 / 5.96
	With ESE	0.48 / 0.53 / 0.56	0.50 / 0.53 / 0.63	0.50 / 0.52 / 0.65
Informer	No ESE	0.84 / 1.77 / 3.52	0.88 / 1.65 / 3.35	0.85 / 1.82 / 3.48
	With ESE	0.37 / 0.42 / 0.46	0.38 / 0.40 / 0.50	0.38 / 0.42 / 0.52
DeepAR	No ESE	1.14 / 2.29 / 4.68	1.19 / 2.39 / 4.73	1.17 / 2.38 / 4.63
	With ESE	0.43 / 0.47 / 0.52	0.44 / 0.48 / 0.57	0.45 / 0.47 / 0.58
PatchTST	No ESE	0.90 / 1.96 / 3.77	0.95 / 1.93 / 3.82	0.92 / 1.91 / 3.73
	With ESE	0.38 / 0.44 / 0.47	0.39 / 0.43 / 0.52	0.40 / 0.43 / 0.54

Table 10: Daily COVID-19 Data

Attribute	Data Type	Comments
Active cases	numeric	State total/By region; daily
NDC	numeric	State total/By region; daily
PCR cases	numeric	State total/By region/By age; daily
PCR tests	numeric	State total; daily
RAT cases	numeric	State total; daily
# Hospitalisation	numeric	State total; daily
# ICU cases	numeric	State total; daily
# On ventilation	numeric	State total; daily
# Death	numeric	State total; daily

Table 11: Attribute Data Describing Local Regions

Attribute	Data Type	Comments
V1,V2,V3	percentiles	weekly
Acquired source of cases	text	daily
Population	numeric	Collected daily
Band (restriction level)	numeric	$[0, 10]$; Daily
Medical practitioners	numeric	–
Health care and social assistance	numeric	–
Private health insurance	numeric	–
Age group	numeric	–
Other health data	numeric	–

attribute, we collected the number of medical practitioners, hospital distribution, the number of health care and social assistance by referring to the research of Munga, Yin et al Munga & Mæstad (2009); Yin et al. (2018). In addition, we collected demographic data of regions, e.g. age distribution, as prior studies have shown that there is a strong correlation between age and COVID-19, risk increasing significantly with age Li et al. (2020). "*Other health data*" in the last row includes additional relevant data of the region, e.g. the rates of obesity, hypertension and chronic diseases, as many studies have pointed out that COVID-19 infection is connected with these health problems, obesity Rychter et al. (2020); Popkin et al. (2020) and chronic diseases Fang et al. (2020); Laires et al. (2021). Other non-major data include economic data, emergencies (such as activity gathering) and government policies (such as lockdowns imposed). These data are related to the epidemic situation and cannot be ignored during the model testing.

H PREPROCESSING OF RAT CASES

The daily figure of RAT data published before February 4 2022 lacks regional information. There-fore, we preprocessed the RAT data before that date, by modifying the definition of "*Close Contact*" associated with RAT data. In addition, on December 30, 2021, the Australian Federal Government redefined "*Close Contact*" from 15 minutes to 4 hours. As a result, cases that would be classified as "*Unknown Sources*" by the early definition are now classified as "*Close Contact*". So the number of "*Close Contact*" increases significantly. To address the above issues, RAT data are processed by arccotangent normalisation and transformation.

H.1 ARCCOTANGENT NORMALISATION (ACN)

The purpose of this normalisation is to unify the data collected before and after February 4 2022 into the same distribution, ranging from 0 to 1, through arccotangent formulation:

$$ACN(x,y) = (\frac{2}{\pi} \ arccot \ x)^y \quad (x \geq 0) \tag{26}$$

where x represents the number of new cases added daily of which the cause can be either "*Close Contact*" or "*Unknown Sources*". Parameter y is the degree of normalisation in the transformation process. By this formula, x values can be converted into $ACN(x,y)$. The value of y can be obtained by the following:

$$\hat{y}_t = log_{(\frac{2}{\pi} arccot \ x_{t,15mins})}(\frac{x_{t,15mins}}{T_t}) \tag{27}$$

$$y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t} \hat{y}_i}{t} \tag{28}$$

where $\hat{y}_t$ is the degree of normalisation for t day, $x_{t,15mins}$ is the number of new cases caused by "*Close Contact*" and "*Unknown Sources*" at t day when the definition is 15 mins. T_t represents the total daily increase of all "*Close Contact*" cases at t day. By aggregating all $\hat{y}_t$, we can obtain the average as y. With the above formulae, $ACN(x,y)$ will always be 1 if the policy of close contact is set to 0. That means that all cases are "*Close Contact*", and there is no case of "*Unknown Sources*". If the contact time is set bigger, more cases will be in the category of "*Unknown Sources*".

H.2 ACN BASED TRANSFORMATION

The above ACN normalization ensures consistency in handling different definitions of close contact. Another source of inconsistency is the region information, which can be dealt with by ACN as well. To reduce the bias in RAT in regions, the regional RAT numbers can be computed as follows using ACN:

$$RAT_i = RAT_{total} \times \frac{ACN_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} ACN_j} \tag{29}$$

where RAT_i is the number of RAT cases in region i; ACN_i is the arccotangent normalization value of that region; $\sum_{j=1}^{n} ACN_j$ is the total ACN of all regions. With ACN, we can effectively eliminate the problem of attribute change and obtain an estimated number of close contact CC_i (transformed cases caused by "*Close Contact*" for region i) by the following formula:

$$CC_i = \begin{cases} \theta, & \theta \geq OCC + US \\ OCC, & \theta < OCC + US \end{cases} \quad \theta = \frac{OCC}{ACN(x,y)} \tag{30}$$

where OCC_i and US_i are the official figures of daily cases of "*Close Contact*" and "*Unknown Sources*" for region i respectively. When θ is less than the sum of OCC_i and US_i, it indicates that

OCC_i is not beyond the reasonable range (CC_i will be equal to OCC_i). If not, CC_i will be equal to θ. According to the CC from different regions, RAT can be allocated as below:

$$RAT_i = RAT_{total} \times \frac{CC_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} CC_i} \tag{31}$$

where RAT_{total} is the total of all daily RAT cases. RAT_i is the cases that are assigned to region i. CC_i is the estimated number of close contacts in region i.

I   ANALYSIS OF EQUILIBRIUM STATE EVALUATION

ESE is first evaluated on the Equilibrium Index (EI), to validate its effectiveness in revealing patterns of COVID-19 spreading and associated events. When the Equilibrium Index (EI) is closer to zero and stabilises, that means the epidemic state $\mathcal{ST}$ is closer to the estimated value of the equilibrium state $\mathcal{ES}$, meaning the COVID-19 case distribution in Victoria is stabilized, because of certain influence in these regions, e.g. medical competency. If the EI is not stable and is approaching a value of 1, it indicates that the regional attributes are possibly not the main contributor, but some external factors, affecting the number of cases, e.g. protests and other public gathering events.

According to the EI values during the COVID-19 pandemic period in Victoria, they obviously fluctuated before January 3, 2022, and around May 22, 2022. That reconciles with the news report as there are large public gatherings occurred during both periods, the New Year celebrations held in many regions before Jan/3/22, and the election held from May/19/22, to May/23/22.

J   FULL COMPARISON ON COVID-19 DATA

J.1   PREDICTION PERFORMANCE ON COVID-19 DATA

Table 12: Comparing prediction performance (output step is 1) with 12 SOTA methods, in RMSE, MAE, and DILATE, with no ESE and with ESE, with input of 10, 20, 50 and 100 steps, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

Models		Metric	Predicting 1 Step			
			Input Length = 10	*Input Length = 20*	*Input Length = 50*	*Input Length = 100*
ESE	–	RMSE	53.69 / 57.99 / 43.47	52.47 / 54.99 / 47.67	62.16 / 54.52 / 47.34	84.54 / 55.54 / 48.32
		MAE	51.64 / 52.27 / 35.43	51.60 / 49.86 / 44.31	51.34 / 49.94 / 45.67	83.91 / 50.85 / 45.86
		DILATE	96.89 / 102.42 / 79.26	83.45 / 95.21 / 72.10	77.64 / 94.52 / 78.24	106.96 / 96.58 / 79.64
VAR	–	RMSE	45.29 / 63.94 / 67.66	54.61 / 78.92 / 84.90	77.19 / 84.94 / 89.09	95.79 / 90.85 / 80.76
		MAE	38.48 / 56.16 / 59.63	53.38 / 70.90 / 81.75	73.55 / 82.26 / 83.82	90.85 / 81.74 / 76.83
		DILATE	80.59 / 104.62 / 107.49	87.75 / 110.31 / 111.95	110.48 / 118.93 / 119.60	122.35 / 126.52 / 114.46
ARIMA	No ESE	RMSE	18.49 / 57.69 / 54.45	39.44 / 70.94 / 73.41	69.84 / 72.56 / 76.42	79.70 / 77.72 / 74.41
		MAE	17.47 / 49.22 / 50.23	37.64 / 64.31 / 62.45	68.05 / 66.87 / 65.44	79.59 / 67.41 / 62.41
		DILATE	28.44 / 96.29 / 84.32	59.24 / 99.37 / 86.74	82.41 / 102.43 / 92.31	109.91 / 105.45 / 93.46
	With ESE	RMSE	49.34 / 56.32 / 43.81	50.12 / 54.28 / 46.61	61.34 / 55.46 / 48.97	75.31 / 54.15 / 47.46
		MAE	48.41 / 51.21 / 34.67	49.16 / 49.12 / 43.91	50.34 / 50.45 / 44.74	73.64 / 50.65 / 44.19
		DILATE	83.12 / 98.47 / 85.73	79.44 / 95.24 / 71.76	87.03 / 93.56 / 74.64	105.48 / 96.49 / 75.36
LSTM	No ESE	RMSE	16.41 / 46.60 / 45.14	37.01 / 59.01 / 54.33	57.69 / 60.83 / 55.47	78.45 / 61.42 / 62.30
		MAE	15.01 / 40.02 / 35.22	35.96 / 50.68 / 47.49	47.64 / 55.87 / 52.90	74.96 / 57.26 / 54.78
		DILATE	28.21 / 83.14 / 74.33	38.36 / 89.78 / 82.47	79.99 / 93.01 / 81.25	101.65 / 98.12 / 89.32
	With ESE	RMSE	48.01 / 56.62 / 43.04	48.49 / 56.31 / 45.42	60.20 / 55.77 / 47.31	72.79 / 55.42 / 46.82
		MAE	44.15 / 51.12 / 33.49	44.54 / 50.32 / 42.41	47.37 / 50.47 / 42.48	69.47 / 49.93 / 43.76
		DILATE	80.32 / 86.12 / 74.97	75.11 / 87.49 / 68.31	83.92 / 91.45 / 73.91	99.61 / 96.41 / 74.15
Dlinear	No ESE	RMSE	16.34 / 50.09 / 44.32	36.31 / 54.55 / 55.31	57.32 / 55.15 / 51.32	79.47 / 56.16 / 59.31
		MAE	14.96 / 46.04 / 36.14	34.78 / 53.78 / 45.39	49.63 / 52.95 / 48.39	72.41 / 53.95 / 51.04
		DILATE	27.33 / 79.45 / 79.41	57.45 / 86.14 / 79.47	80.41 / 94.24 / 82.43	102.47 / 97.22 / 87.11
	With ESE	RMSE	45.47 / 50.23 / 42.41	46.97 / 51.94 / 44.74	58.13 / 53.44 / 47.06	73.61 / 56.14 / 45.15
		MAE	42.74 / 48.19 / 31.64	42.17 / 51.63 / 41.46	46.82 / 50.42 / 43.60	100.94 / 50.12 / 73.41
		DILATE	79.12 / 90.23 / 73.47	74.62 / 91.48 / 69.15	71.91 / 93.33 / 72.47	100.94 / 96.32 / 73.41
Nlinear	No ESE	RMSE	15.01 / 43.28 / 41.32	34.78 / 52.69 / 53.47	56.74 / 54.22 / 49.74	74.96 / 55.62 / 54.77
		MAE	14.90 / 43.49 / 32.47	33.69 / 51.53 / 45.25	47.41 / 51.95 / 48.01	70.77 / 52.23 / 49.96
		DILATE	27.03 / 86.15 / 70.01	54.77 / 89.44 / 77.47	78.84 / 91.45 / 77.31	96.93 / 97.15 / 85.47
	With ESE	RMSE	45.23 / 48.32 / 41.64	46.03 / 51.64 / 43.94	58.14 / 55.01 / 47.13	71.64 / 55.14 / 44.75
		MAE	40.94 / 44.31 / 30.91	41.31 / 50.78 / 40.19	45.84 / 50.34 / 42.45	69.98 / 51.33 / 41.86
		DILATE	78.44 / 94.21 / 74.61	72.49 / 92.31 / 68.91	70.45 / 93.66 / 72.04	98.30 / 95.25 / 73.07
Informer	No ESE	RMSE	17.03 / 58.96 / 48.65	38.99 / 59.85 / 60.74	58.31 / 61.23 / 57.14	76.84 / 62.01 / 60.52
		MAE	14.99 / 47.31 / 39.41	35.69 / 53.78 / 50.64	46.72 / 58.85 / 52.56	71.79 / 60.57 / 58.03
		DILATE	28.64 / 89.41 / 81.78	58.41 / 91.44 / 83.22	79.45 / 95.31 / 83.50	99.39 / 99.85 / 89.41
	With ESE	RMSE	46.25 / 60.32 / 43.16	47.34 / 56.33 / 44.86	59.42 / 55.17 / 48.01	73.43 / 55.48 / 46.04
		MAE	43.32 / 51.37 / 31.94	43.44 / 50.98 / 42.45	48.83 / 49.47 / 44.06	71.48 / 49.23 / 43.71
		DILATE	79.99 / 94.49 / 73.71	76.74 / 94.43 / 70.06	72.14 / 94.77 / 72.94	99.43 / 94.61 / 75.62
FiLM	No ESE	RMSE	16.54 / 52.90 / 45.36	35.66 / 53.32 / 50.31	55.33 / 55.57 / 50.98	76.84 / 56.21 / 57.41
		MAE	13.85 / 43.21 / 33.45	33.58 / 50.66 / 49.44	47.45 / 48.60 / 45.79	73.85 / 51.34 / 49.63
		DILATE	29.12 / 90.14 / 78.64	57.40 / 92.45 / 84.67	83.64 / 95.14 / 80.77	98.47 / 102.36 / 93.11
	With ESE	RMSE	45.94 / 53.14 / 42.84	44.17 / 52.96 / 43.74	57.93 / 55.31 / 46.94	71.68 / 54.96 / 43.61
		MAE	42.45 / 51.67 / 30.94	41.96 / 51.14 / 41.49	45.83 / 49.66 / 43.22	69.15 / 49.01 / 39.63
		DILATE	80.31 / 92.11 / 71.86	74.14 / 94.36 / 69.61	69.73 / 95.54 / 72.12	97.74 / 96.49 / 74.64
SCINet	No ESE	RMSE	15.44 / 50.64 / 46.00	35.98 / 57.45 / 56.31	58.94 / 59.80 / 60.33	79.75 / 55.86 / 59.43
		MAE	13.90 / 44.70 / 33.32	32.23 / 50.48 / 51.32	54.79 / 51.31 / 55.74	69.94 / 53.56 / 55.94
		DILATE	26.49 / 86.19 / 79.68	54.34 / 88.35 / 88.12	81.74 / 95.14 / 88.61	97.25 / 98.41 / 90.07
	With ESE	RMSE	44.67 / 53.01 / 42.44	45.49 / 52.25 / 42.09	58.88 / 54.12 / 46.34	71.84 / 54.04 / 44.65
		MAE	40.95 / 51.18 / 31.66	42.79 / 47.11 / 41.84	46.73 / 48.14 / 41.64	69.71 / 50.34 / 42.41
		DILATE	77.14 / 92.44 / 72.36	73.94 / 91.21 / 70.63	71.06 / 90.12 / 71.64	98.71 / 93.23 / 70.94
DeepAR	No ESE	RMSE	17.63 / 51.12 / 50.11	39.01 / 53.48 / 57.41	61.78 / 54.64 / 61.74	83.41 / 56.34 / 62.98
		MAE	16.41 / 47.32 / 39.41	34.68 / 47.54 / 49.37	50.74 / 50.31 / 56.41	74.65 / 54.32 / 50.40
		DILATE	28.68 / 88.21 / 80.18	58.31 / 92.47 / 79.94	81.74 / 95.65 / 85.93	101.98 / 99.42 / 87.77
	With ESE	RMSE	47.44 / 51.95 / 43.06	48.41 / 52.47 / 45.74	60.03 / 52.43 / 48.52	74.82 / 50.99 / 47.92
		MAE	46.41 / 50.33 / 33.82	46.94 / 49.16 / 42.93	48.34 / 51.02 / 43.82	72.91 / 50.96 / 44.61
		DILATE	82.94 / 93.57 / 82.79	77.16 / 92.62 / 70.74	75.06 / 95.14 / 73.64	100.74 / 95.01 / 73.46
KVAE	No ESE	RMSE	16.21 / 48.69 / 43.96	35.14 / 48.14 / 47.92	54.36 / 52.41 / 49.74	70.85 / 53.12 / 52.93
		MAE	13.43 / 47.72 / 42.66	31.47 / 47.23 / 45.34	45.96 / 50.34 / 41.90	64.44 / 52.71 / 49.47
		DILATE	27.30 / 86.34 / 79.94	54.12 / 84.36 / 80.11	78.71 / 92.14 / 77.06	96.03 / 97.12 / 88.81
	With ESE	RMSE	44.45 / 52.10 / 42.36	44.94 / 51.39 / 42.41	58.22 / 52.11 / 47.42	71.94 / 52.13 / 47.67
		MAE	41.47 / 49.02 / 31.82	40.46 / 48.94 / 40.76	46.56 / 51.32 / 43.77	68.41 / 51.24 / 42.66
		DILATE	78.87 / 89.22 / 68.18	72.44 / 84.30 / 68.49	72.41 / 93.03 / 73.92	97.43 / 95.41 / 73.81
TPGNN	No ESE	RMSE	15.96 / 48.31 / 44.33	36.97 / 49.21 / 51.49	53.74 / 56.65 / 52.31	78.37 / 59.22 / 60.63
		MAE	15.12 / 44.12 / 38.41	34.12 / 48.36 / 50.36	48.71 / 54.79 / 45.70	69.86 / 58.13 / 54.13
		DILATE	25.65 / 84.36 / 75.14	54.31 / 89.34 / 82.16	83.44 / 94.65 / 79.74	99.66 / 97.32 / 95.41
	With ESE	RMSE	45.12 / 54.29 / 43.24	46.41 / 53.29 / 42.75	57.65 / 55.16 / 46.82	72.24 / 56.95 / 46.83
		MAE	43.56 / 50.41 / 33.47	43.46 / 51.32 / 42.61	46.07 / 52.96 / 43.64	70.64 / 54.99 / 42.41
		DILATE	79.16 / 93.28 / 72.66	74.92 / 94.12 / 70.03	71.62 / 93.45 / 72.49	98.43 / 94.35 / 73.03
PatchTST	No ESE	RMSE	15.67 / 49.46 / 44.31	36.23 / 53.87 / 52.34	55.43 / 54.49 / 50.74	70.01 / 56.44 / 51.13
		MAE	14.75 / 43.94 / 33.62	31.98 / 52.41 / 48.21	49.34 / 53.35 / 42.94	63.52 / 52.41 / 44.33
		DILATE	25.75 / 85.36 / 82.64	55.74 / 87.94 / 86.38	86.41 / 89.86 / 79.46	95.61 / 88.12 / 79.64
	With ESE	RMSE	45.82 / 58.19 / 42.38	46.09 / 54.66 / 43.35	59.12 / 52.58 / 46.54	70.74 / 53.71 / 45.19
		MAE	42.44 / 51.17 / 31.86	41.63 / 49.89 / 41.62	48.49 / 47.99 / 43.51	65.97 / 47.25 / 43.69
		DILATE	79.59 / 90.89 / 73.33	76.63 / 91.10 / 77.25	79.54 / 92.19 / 79.97	98.35 / 91.32 / 72.00

Table 13: Comparing prediction performance (output step is 2) with 12 SOTA methods, in RMSE, MAE, and DILATE, with no ESE and with ESE, with input of 10, 20, 50 and 100 steps, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

Models		Metric	Predicting 2 Steps			
			Input Length = 10	Input Length = 20	Input Length = 50	Input Length = 100
ESE	–	RMSE	58.50 / 62.19 / 46.61	55.73 / 59.51 / 51.22	67.85 / 59.17 / 50.17	92.19 / 59.72 / 52.43
		MAE	53.96 / 54.90 / 36.65	53.41 / 52.00 / 46.19	53.54 / 52.05 / 48.04	88.29 / 53.45 / 47.84
		DILATE	105.51 / 112.01 / 87.09	90.53 / 103.91 / 78.72	89.23 / 103.42 / 85.84	126.82 / 104.73 / 86.14
VAR	–	RMSE	46.57 / 65.82 / 70.93	54.84 / 81.39 / 87.14	77.70 / 86.81 / 92.54	95.86 / 93.56 / 84.17
		MAE	39.12 / 58.72 / 62.09	49.67 / 72.96 / 83.32	76.63 / 82.57 / 86.08	91.64 / 83.38 / 78.35
		DILATE	83.85 / 105.10 / 109.76	79.84 / 110.95 / 116.22	111.45 / 121.99 / 120.35	124.02 / 132.47 / 115.93
ARIMA	No ESE	RMSE	19.20 / 59.31 / 56.02	40.38 / 73.22 / 75.78	71.86 / 74.51 / 78.86	82.07 / 80.34 / 77.21
		MAE	18.83 / 53.64 / 54.90	39.82 / 69.65 / 68.21	64.35 / 72.12 / 70.71	86.79 / 73.38 / 67.76
		DILATE	29.42 / 98.99 / 87.28	60.50 / 102.47 / 89.55	90.59 / 104.65 / 95.49	128.26 / 109.63 / 96.81
	With ESE	RMSE	53.74 / 59.54 / 46.13	52.55 / 57.58 / 48.53	66.92 / 58.84 / 51.62	80.58 / 57.66 / 50.78
		MAE	51.61 / 53.90 / 34.84	50.87 / 49.13 / 44.64	55.33 / 50.68 / 48.38	75.28 / 54.36 / 47.19
		DILATE	87.47 / 103.77 / 88.32	85.97 / 98.65 / 76.96	89.30 / 98.09 / 81.45	125.52 / 104.54 / 77.01
LSTM	No ESE	RMSE	17.87 / 50.30 / 49.06	39.20 / 64.00 / 58.71	62.49 / 65.48 / 59.84	84.85 / 66.73 / 67.75
		MAE	15.52 / 41.36 / 36.44	36.76 / 52.00 / 48.31	49.20 / 57.05 / 54.60	76.35 / 59.12 / 56.22
		DILATE	30.16 / 88.10 / 79.59	60.40 / 95.40 / 88.18	84.65 / 97.90 / 87.13	117.93 / 104.48 / 94.72
	With ESE	RMSE	51.24 / 56.83 / 45.04	48.98 / 59.34 / 47.21	49.92 / 54.21 / 44.75	70.29 / 53.19 / 44.24
		MAE	47.15 / 54.57 / 35.19	45.34 / 52.11 / 44.38	49.92 / 54.21 / 44.75	70.29 / 53.19 / 44.24
		DILATE	81.54 / 91.29 / 81.20	76.16 / 93.86 / 72.77	86.91 / 94.14 / 76.62	114.91 / 98.61 / 75.61
Dlinear	No ESE	RMSE	16.65 / 50.90 / 45.49	37.07 / 55.80 / 56.81	58.62 / 56.70 / 52.47	82.09 / 57.09 / 61.21
		MAE	15.02 / 46.35 / 36.14	34.69 / 53.84 / 45.46	49.87 / 53.00 / 48.64	72.86 / 53.81 / 51.35
		DILATE	29.56 / 85.20 / 85.07	60.15 / 93.12 / 85.95	87.59 / 102.51 / 88.80	117.78 / 105.72 / 93.92
	With ESE	RMSE	46.21 / 54.20 / 42.85	51.02 / 55.62 / 44.87	61.34 / 54.35 / 51.70	77.71 / 58.76 / 48.07
		MAE	43.24 / 51.23 / 33.58	44.86 / 52.87 / 43.40	47.45 / 54.08 / 47.28	75.45 / 53.52 / 44.89
		DILATE	82.73 / 94.48 / 76.79	80.49 / 91.78 / 72.14	88.09 / 94.13 / 73.67	120.97 / 103.71 / 75.08
Nlinear	No ESE	RMSE	16.50 / 47.48 / 45.25	37.26 / 57.54 / 58.29	62.28 / 59.61 / 54.79	81.63 / 60.84 / 59.61
		MAE	15.60 / 44.73 / 33.78	34.49 / 53.75 / 47.27	49.49 / 53.92 / 50.45	74.01 / 54.71 / 52.21
		DILATE	28.56 / 90.99 / 73.88	56.78 / 95.13 / 83.10	83.67 / 97.59 / 82.96	115.38 / 103.34 / 90.87
	With ESE	RMSE	45.37 / 51.11 / 41.95	50.56 / 53.65 / 47.86	62.34 / 57.70 / 47.38	76.42 / 59.77 / 47.25
		MAE	43.52 / 45.64 / 32.88	45.28 / 53.05 / 41.36	48.21 / 52.46 / 46.50	71.59 / 52.19 / 44.59
		DILATE	86.25 / 103.28 / 75.28	74.02 / 96.31 / 69.76	81.53 / 98.09 / 75.43	115.54 / 96.51 / 74.23
Informer	No ESE	RMSE	17.73 / 60.39 / 50.08	40.10 / 61.77 / 63.19	60.27 / 63.62 / 59.02	78.13 / 64.41 / 63.03
		MAE	16.17 / 50.50 / 42.41	37.39 / 57.72 / 54.03	49.91 / 63.41 / 56.20	77.42 / 65.27 / 62.75
		DILATE	30.94 / 95.60 / 87.27	61.64 / 98.45 / 90.38	85.69 / 103.20 / 89.42	117.83 / 108.05 / 96.20
	With ESE	RMSE	47.22 / 63.37 / 47.28	48.11 / 61.71 / 48.50	64.29 / 58.86 / 49.34	76.45 / 55.93 / 46.29
		MAE	46.57 / 53.57 / 32.89	46.05 / 51.53 / 46.37	50.88 / 50.03 / 44.22	73.77 / 50.42 / 44.03
		DILATE	82.67 / 98.44 / 74.16	84.29 / 102.09 / 73.43	72.97 / 97.78 / 78.65	118.20 / 96.74 / 77.62
FiLM	No ESE	RMSE	17.87 / 57.78 / 49.56	37.75 / 57.75 / 54.54	60.11 / 60.73 / 55.27	83.54 / 61.18 / 62.15
		MAE	14.19 / 43.95 / 34.08	34.01 / 51.97 / 50.91	48.83 / 50.10 / 46.54	76.50 / 53.08 / 50.75
		DILATE	30.26 / 93.18 / 81.28	59.24 / 96.12 / 88.30	87.07 / 99.20 / 84.22	106.97 / 106.26 / 96.41
	With ESE	RMSE	49.00 / 56.48 / 45.07	45.53 / 56.94 / 46.26	61.44 / 58.91 / 48.20	72.65 / 56.50 / 44.59
		MAE	45.67 / 53.86 / 32.26	45.24 / 52.09 / 44.27	47.91 / 52.37 / 43.70	69.15 / 49.64 / 40.36
		DILATE	82.42 / 98.78 / 73.67	81.53 / 94.80 / 75.79	83.87 / 104.45 / 75.12	107.99 / 102.68 / 78.01
SCINet	No ESE	RMSE	15.86 / 51.31 / 46.95	36.66 / 58.76 / 57.43	60.74 / 61.34 / 61.34	82.18 / 56.96 / 60.66
		MAE	13.87 / 44.53 / 33.33	31.96 / 50.10 / 51.00	54.91 / 50.48 / 55.78	69.80 / 53.10 / 55.05
		DILATE	27.98 / 91.29 / 84.32	56.54 / 94.15 / 94.46	89.02 / 101.92 / 91.47	114.90 / 105.33 / 96.07
	With ESE	RMSE	47.72 / 57.83 / 42.89	48.72 / 53.33 / 45.18	61.37 / 57.08 / 46.61	76.69 / 56.47 / 48.17
		MAE	41.42 / 54.89 / 34.51	46.17 / 50.55 / 44.00	47.36 / 50.44 / 43.43	73.07 / 53.05 / 43.90
		DILATE	83.78 / 99.32 / 76.39	81.23 / 95.22 / 70.73	87.57 / 92.89 / 75.12	111.99 / 99.73 / 72.44
DeepAR	No ESE	RMSE	19.68 / 57.99 / 56.29	42.85 / 60.17 / 64.10	69.39 / 61.15 / 69.41	94.20 / 66.58 / 63.28
		MAE	17.15 / 54.46 / 48.29	40.13 / 58.14 / 59.90	62.15 / 56.95 / 62.41	91.80 / 63.03 / 61.37
		DILATE	30.23 / 91.78 / 84.15	59.72 / 96.74 / 83.95	85.06 / 100.94 / 89.84	116.23 / 104.47 / 91.37
	With ESE	RMSE	49.47 / 55.85 / 46.53	48.83 / 52.82 / 49.17	61.54 / 57.59 / 50.09	81.55 / 54.68 / 50.88
		MAE	47.63 / 54.90 / 36.61	47.12 / 51.78 / 43.46	51.49 / 51.40 / 44.52	76.76 / 52.76 / 48.56
		DILATE	83.95 / 101.69 / 88.86	83.03 / 99.02 / 77.81	89.79 / 98.81 / 80.83	118.40 / 97.05 / 77.29
KVAE	No ESE	RMSE	16.91 / 48.68 / 45.58	39.18 / 56.30 / 55.93	51.80 / 57.25 / 56.06	85.97 / 60.00 / 59.32
		MAE	15.95 / 45.77 / 42.24	34.55 / 53.34 / 51.13	48.42 / 53.14 / 53.22	76.52 / 58.67 / 58.22
		DILATE	27.59 / 87.65 / 80.96	58.89 / 99.71 / 88.87	83.11 / 102.26 / 88.70	112.80 / 105.37 / 97.34
	With ESE	RMSE	45.36 / 54.48 / 43.27	48.52 / 56.17 / 46.09	61.11 / 52.72 / 48.58	76.96 / 54.17 / 50.50
		MAE	44.62 / 49.37 / 32.53	41.34 / 49.19 / 42.30	47.84 / 55.87 / 46.29	70.77 / 55.48 / 45.98
		DILATE	83.61 / 95.33 / 70.79	76.01 / 89.36 / 72.56	85.53 / 95.88 / 75.52	109.72 / 96.12 / 74.21
TPGNN	No ESE	RMSE	19.71 / 59.24 / 54.31	43.37 / 60.64 / 63.15	66.11 / 69.84 / 64.09	96.12 / 72.72 / 75.03
		MAE	17.46 / 50.69 / 44.70	37.81 / 55.92 / 58.52	56.32 / 62.84 / 52.45	81.05 / 67.01 / 63.06
		DILATE	28.63 / 94.41 / 84.10	58.36 / 100.61 / 92.43	83.60 / 107.48 / 89.24	117.49 / 110.18 / 107.79
	With ESE	RMSE	47.09 / 54.99 / 46.02	46.68 / 55.39 / 45.55	59.55 / 56.25 / 50.70	74.76 / 59.39 / 50.73
		MAE	44.40 / 53.31 / 34.06	43.85 / 54.64 / 45.91	50.07 / 57.37 / 46.14	73.94 / 59.78 / 43.49
		DILATE	82.36 / 96.78 / 74.55	81.10 / 97.30 / 71.59	85.64 / 94.68 / 79.46	119.25 / 101.71 / 78.85
PatchTST	No ESE	RMSE	16.55 / 52.02 / 45.27	36.89 / 57.93 / 56.49	56.80 / 58.07 / 52.80	72.20 / 61.24 / 53.23
		MAE	14.95 / 45.68 / 35.47	33.67 / 51.07 / 49.29	52.14 / 55.93 / 46.58	64.19 / 56.65 / 48.17
		DILATE	26.93 / 93.03 / 90.69	57.86 / 96.17 / 93.91	91.49 / 98.03 / 87.02	104.55 / 95.92 / 79.78
	With ESE	RMSE	46.59 / 61.93 / 44.12	46.38 / 57.12 / 45.92	60.21 / 52.82 / 49.49	71.91 / 56.34 / 48.34
		MAE	45.38 / 54.72 / 33.20	43.20 / 50.77 / 44.42	50.34 / 51.33 / 44.50	70.28 / 48.84 / 44.26
		DILATE	82.96 / 91.08 / 77.14	76.90 / 93.47 / 71.61	69.78 / 93.01 / 70.23	106.88 / 97.45 / 74.96

Table 14: Comparing prediction performance (output step is 5) with 12 SOTA methods, in RMSE, MAE, and DILATE, with no ESE and with ESE, with input of 10, 20, 50 and 100 steps, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

| Models | | Metric | Predicting 5 Steps | | | |
			Input Length = 10	Input Length = 20	Input Length = 50	Input Length = 100
ESE	–	RMSE	60.16 / 64.95 / 48.49	62.71 / 61.43 / 53.60	69.56 / 61.56 / 53.12	94.74 / 61.91 / 58.99
		MAE	57.09 / 57.70 / 39.09	56.03 / 54.75 / 49.07	56.79 / 54.99 / 50.29	92.14 / 55.90 / 50.45
		DILATE	107.75 / 113.92 / 88.10	89.80 / 106.04 / 80.29	86.42 / 105.95 / 86.71	130.64 / 107.85 / 88.45
VAR	–	RMSE	51.87 / 70.96 / 81.32	66.86 / 88.04 / 99.20	93.86 / 85.82 / 84.76	114.49 / 98.09 / 95.73
		MAE	46.98 / 63.25 / 66.53	65.47 / 82.92 / 104.16	93.13 / 81.51 / 78.58	103.24 / 91.26 / 87.00
		DILATE	97.17 / 123.18 / 130.30	91.27 / 145.75 / 135.62	124.07 / 144.26 / 137.58	148.79 / 131.93 / 129.79
ARIMA	No ESE	RMSE	24.38 / 63.88 / 60.37	48.50 / 78.15 / 81.15	76.90 / 78.30 / 84.06	93.27 / 85.53 / 82.38
		MAE	22.84 / 57.97 / 59.07	42.39 / 75.75 / 73.31	69.98 / 75.64 / 76.82	88.08 / 79.06 / 73.57
		DILATE	37.08 / 104.36 / 91.57	62.47 / 107.70 / 93.58	89.38 / 107.68 / 99.58	135.17 / 113.86 / 101.01
	With ESE	RMSE	57.41 / 62.42 / 48.39	59.51 / 59.68 / 56.35	67.52 / 61.44 / 54.23	86.86 / 59.99 / 54.60
		MAE	54.11 / 60.27 / 39.88	57.98 / 57.56 / 50.66	55.01 / 53.16 / 52.50	82.92 / 54.38 / 52.06
		DILATE	89.91 / 106.26 / 92.53	86.16 / 103.00 / 77.98	93.63 / 101.09 / 80.67	125.67 / 106.24 / 81.37
LSTM	No ESE	RMSE	21.92 / 56.56 / 54.83	42.85 / 71.87 / 66.10	70.11 / 71.72 / 67.57	95.02 / 74.49 / 75.65
		MAE	21.47 / 47.61 / 42.15	41.09 / 60.44 / 56.65	57.12 / 60.51 / 63.18	89.23 / 68.40 / 65.66
		DILATE	39.37 / 97.22 / 86.64	64.60 / 104.60 / 96.06	92.98 / 104.81 / 94.81	129.08 / 114.07 / 104.58
	With ESE	RMSE	52.66 / 59.97 / 42.62	53.03 / 58.57 / 49.97	65.99 / 61.23 / 52.09	79.62 / 55.23 / 51.25
		MAE	47.76 / 56.15 / 35.70	48.02 / 49.10 / 45.85	50.94 / 52.25 / 45.83	74.63 / 51.82 / 47.12
		DILATE	89.22 / 102.53 / 82.92	83.47 / 97.23 / 75.69	81.96 / 101.26 / 81.93	121.75 / 107.14 / 82.34
Dlinear	No ESE	RMSE	21.30 / 54.25 / 47.77	38.59 / 58.90 / 60.03	62.04 / 59.02 / 55.61	85.64 / 60.76 / 63.94
		MAE	19.13 / 49.10 / 38.36	36.42 / 57.32 / 48.33	52.72 / 57.35 / 51.72	77.40 / 57.57 / 54.49
		DILATE	39.08 / 94.53 / 94.62	64.75 / 102.68 / 94.66	95.47 / 102.64 / 97.96	129.27 / 115.71 / 103.64
	With ESE	RMSE	46.57 / 57.56 / 35.93	48.10 / 53.39 / 45.79	59.82 / 55.13 / 48.20	75.42 / 57.53 / 46.48
		MAE	43.42 / 53.05 / 35.93	42.79 / 48.28 / 41.81	47.38 / 51.21 / 44.01	72.50 / 50.94 / 43.07
		DILATE	88.89 / 100.75 / 82.24	83.88 / 102.32 / 77.69	80.61 / 104.85 / 81.08	124.76 / 108.01 / 82.25
Nlinear	No ESE	RMSE	20.57 / 52.70 / 47.29	38.35 / 60.04 / 61.00	64.50 / 60.14 / 56.52	85.26 / 63.34 / 62.41
		MAE	20.14 / 49.05 / 36.64	36.82 / 58.16 / 51.02	53.38 / 58.25 / 54.40	80.04 / 59.30 / 56.24
		DILATE	38.39 / 102.45 / 83.14	61.15 / 105.75 / 91.94	93.77 / 105.94 / 91.74	129.39 / 115.02 / 101.08
	With ESE	RMSE	48.95 / 56.44 / 45.24	49.87 / 56.19 / 47.84	62.88 / 59.55 / 51.07	77.96 / 59.57 / 48.55
		MAE	44.09 / 51.50 / 36.17	44.23 / 52.29 / 44.06	49.05 / 51.01 / 45.64	74.83 / 54.98 / 44.79
		DILATE	88.17 / 100.06 / 84.22	81.89 / 103.63 / 77.68	79.48 / 105.42 / 80.77	122.28 / 107.18 / 82.06
Informer	No ESE	RMSE	21.50 / 61.88 / 51.13	42.77 / 62.70 / 64.58	65.07 / 66.77 / 60.89	85.99 / 74.67 / 65.38
		MAE	18.54 / 54.70 / 45.58	38.74 / 58.62 / 57.62	58.99 / 64.11 / 58.09	80.70 / 70.23 / 64.84
		DILATE	40.38 / 104.91 / 96.05	65.92 / 107.38 / 97.75	93.12 / 107.31 / 98.00	129.90 / 116.92 / 105.30
	With ESE	RMSE	48.42 / 63.24 / 45.22	49.62 / 58.99 / 46.85	62.07 / 57.98 / 50.24	76.76 / 57.94 / 48.15
		MAE	42.49 / 55.12 / 36.92	50.25 / 49.24 / 43.32	53.50 / 53.18 / 46.33	72.87 / 56.92 / 41.01
		DILATE	89.45 / 105.26 / 82.53	85.57 / 105.17 / 77.82	80.30 / 105.99 / 81.08	121.86 / 105.86 / 84.24
FiLM	No ESE	RMSE	20.93 / 59.13 / 51.16	40.10 / 59.53 / 53.42	64.73 / 63.47 / 54.08	84.06 / 65.31 / 60.63
		MAE	15.56 / 48.48 / 39.28	37.15 / 57.59 / 46.14	54.60 / 55.46 / 48.04	79.11 / 56.94 / 56.54
		DILATE	40.35 / 104.17 / 90.42	63.17 / 106.24 / 97.18	95.98 / 106.41 / 92.64	118.73 / 117.78 / 107.06
	With ESE	RMSE	51.53 / 560.42 / 47.88	49.45 / 59.36 / 48.91	64.78 / 60.65 / 52.61	79.93 / 59.30 / 48.83
		MAE	42.02 / 51.25 / 34.69	41.40 / 50.63 / 41.21	45.37 / 49.18 / 42.69	68.45 / 48.58 / 39.17
		DILATE	87.82 / 101.15 / 78.58	81.00 / 103.10 / 76.02	76.29 / 104.45 / 78.66	118.06 / 105.15 / 81.96
SCINet	No ESE	RMSE	19.49 / 53.00 / 48.30	37.20 / 60.60 / 59.23	62.00 / 60.40 / 63.51	83.74 / 68.90 / 62.70
		MAE	18.17 / 48.82 / 36.29	34.36 / 55.28 / 55.88	59.97 / 55.20 / 61.01	76.46 / 58.84 / 61.43
		DILATE	37.90 / 102.51 / 95.06	60.76 / 105.31 / 105.12	99.91 / 105.34 / 102.51	128.04 / 117.78 / 107.40
	With ESE	RMSE	44.68 / 62.43 / 42.56	45.65 / 52.27 / 43.87	58.61 / 54.01 / 46.10	76.88 / 53.80 / 44.58
		MAE	42.48 / 53.13 / 35.04	44.36 / 51.17 / 41.49	48.72 / 49.91 / 43.21	72.42 / 52.25 / 44.15
		DILATE	87.37 / 104.97 / 81.84	83.91 / 102.93 / 80.34	80.59 / 102.47 / 80.90	123.38 / 106.01 / 80.12
DeepAR	No ESE	RMSE	24.58 / 59.55 / 58.21	41.94 / 62.23 / 66.69	71.72 / 62.27 / 71.75	97.04 / 65.39 / 73.41
		MAE	23.25 / 55.89 / 46.39	37.32 / 56.11 / 57.98	59.70 / 55.99 / 66.32	87.61 / 64.01 / 59.54
		DILATE	40.88 / 103.98 / 94.83	65.35 / 109.42 / 94.79	96.47 / 109.37 / 101.93	131.92 / 118.05 / 103.56
	With ESE	RMSE	49.95 / 61.98 / 41.70	56.22 / 60.73 / 52.95	69.70 / 60.76 / 52.32	86.63 / 51.41 / 45.94
		MAE	47.26 / 56.17 / 35.51	53.23 / 51.97 / 45.55	47.74 / 51.30 / 48.36	83.60 / 50.11 / 36.72
		DILATE	92.13 / 104.24 / 91.59	85.63 / 102.58 / 78.75	83.22 / 105.45 / 81.48	123.03 / 105.87 / 81.25
KVAE	No ESE	RMSE	21.98 / 52.46 / 51.89	41.90 / 60.88 / 60.22	56.62 / 61.03 / 62.23	93.53 / 64.77 / 65.94
		MAE	20.17 / 50.87 / 49.62	37.02 / 59.10 / 56.60	54.27 / 59.16 / 60.58	84.68 / 62.54 / 52.13
		DILATE	35.33 / 93.58 / 85.59	61.19 / 106.40 / 95.34	88.47 / 106.18 / 95.45	120.47 / 112.54 / 102.96
	With ESE	RMSE	52.07 / 60.96 / 49.71	52.62 / 59.97 / 49.44	67.87 / 58.98 / 53.30	84.38 / 59.21 / 48.71
		MAE	44.21 / 52.23 / 33.73	43.04 / 51.84 / 43.39	49.51 / 52.70 / 46.37	72.53 / 54.24 / 45.21
		DILATE	88.56 / 100.17 / 76.45	81.29 / 98.85 / 76.64	81.23 / 104.37 / 83.13	120.32 / 107.37 / 82.86
TPGNN	No ESE	RMSE	21.41 / 62.40 / 56.90	45.44 / 63.23 / 66.31	69.04 / 63.32 / 67.32	89.21 / 76.22 / 77.79
		MAE	19.95 / 56.68 / 49.04	41.60 / 61.99 / 64.36	62.44 / 61.91 / 58.32	79.55 / 74.43 / 69.30
		DILATE	35.64 / 98.12 / 86.80	59.77 / 103.86 / 95.59	96.84 / 103.64 / 92.38	120.44 / 112.81 / 110.70
	With ESE	RMSE	50.67 / 62.96 / 48.38	52.04 / 59.53 / 47.92	64.50 / 60.52 / 50.56	80.70 / 58.73 / 52.39
		MAE	48.43 / 53.09 / 37.32	48.24 / 49.39 / 42.62	51.16 / 50.10 / 48.69	78.51 / 55.16 / 47.31
		DILATE	87.19 / 102.52 / 80.03	82.89 / 103.45 / 76.21	79.21 / 102.67 / 79.81	119.71 / 103.75 / 80.17
PatchTST	No ESE	RMSE	19.05 / 55.33 / 49.41	40.10 / 62.56 / 57.38	58.25 / 60.75 / 57.12	84.02 / 66.97 / 64.18
		MAE	15.13 / 44.89 / 46.00	35.49 / 50.94 / 51.06	52.09 / 57.68 / 49.70	79.02 / 57.05 / 59.37
		DILATE	31.63 / 97.14 / 96.02	60.20 / 94.33 / 99.52	88.41 / 103.73 / 95.60	112.28 / 100.17 / 91.89
	With ESE	RMSE	50.60 / 65.59 / 47.53	52.01 / 62.50 / 48.28	65.69 / 60.34 / 52.03	79.39 / 60.65 / 49.87
		MAE	46.77 / 56.56 / 35.83	47.74 / 55.90 / 45.90	54.87 / 54.55 / 49.83	73.47 / 52.27 / 42.74
		DILATE	88.89 / 103.51 / 82.95	47.74 / 55.90 / 45.90	54.87 / 54.55 / 49.83	73.47 / 52.27 / 42.74

Table 15: Comparing prediction performance (output step is 10) with 12 SOTA methods, in RMSE, MAE, and DILATE, with no ESE and with ESE, with input of 10, 20, 50 and 100 steps, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

Models		Metric	Predicting 10 Steps			
			Input Length = 10	*Input Length = 20*	*Input Length = 50*	*Input Length = 100*
ESE	–	RMSE	61.89 / 66.57 / 59.95	65.98 / 73.11 / 54.93	68.22 / 67.66 / 54.25	97.34 / 69.27 / 55.55
		MAE	59.83 / 60.47 / 50.82	59.86 / 57.53 / 51.11	62.32 / 63.77 / 52.69	95.02 / 64.62 / 53.01
		DILATE	109.63 / 115.76 / 89.91	94.54 / 113.89 / 81.56	92.52 / 107.09 / 88.78	142.99 / 117.61 / 95.13
VAR	–	RMSE	55.18 / 78.13 / 81.49	67.77 / 98.17 / 105.85	98.19 / 105.90 / 107.90	117.09 / 112.45 / 104.53
		MAE	49.42 / 67.56 / 73.40	64.52 / 87.39 / 100.95	90.99 / 101.47 / 95.13	112.44 / 100.95 / 97.97
		DILATE	101.81 / 133.21 / 135.42	99.51 / 132.47 / 136.48	138.84 / 152.18 / 151.91	163.89 / 157.76 / 137.59
ARIMA	No ESE	RMSE	25.08 / 71.01 / 66.89	56.28 / 87.47 / 90.50	86.38 / 89.27 / 94.50	98.31 / 87.48 / 92.08
		MAE	24.72 / 63.19 / 64.42	55.49 / 82.67 / 80.34	87.09 / 85.86 / 83.71	102.05 / 82.51 / 79.81
		DILATE	51.96 / 112.65 / 98.90	85.72 / 116.08 / 101.52	96.40 / 119.89 / 108.15	145.57 / 116.15 / 109.42
	With ESE	RMSE	61.57 / 64.49 / 52.11	63.92 / 69.95 / 54.40	64.62 / 63.32 / 60.57	91.88 / 61.79 / 53.72
		MAE	56.90 / 60.83 / 49.34	57.92 / 60.82 / 51.51	59.57 / 59.68 / 52.30	89.79 / 60.15 / 51.85
		DILATE	104.99 / 110.29 / 85.48	87.92 / 106.70 / 78.70	85.29 / 104.70 / 81.87	136.07 / 107.73 / 88.78
LSTM	No ESE	RMSE	23.07 / 59.44 / 57.57	54.39 / 75.39 / 69.31	73.72 / 77.31 / 70.43	99.83 / 75.18 / 79.42
		MAE	20.95 / 51.13 / 44.84	53.15 / 64.56 / 60.39	60.61 / 71.12 / 67.17	95.77 / 64.53 / 70.03
		DILATE	46.68 / 104.21 / 93.28	88.35 / 112.67 / 103.65	100.42 / 116.65 / 101.80	139.72 / 112.94 / 112.58
	With ESE	RMSE	56.40 / 63.37 / 46.01	56.89 / 67.97 / 52.94	63.76 / 66.16 / 55.26	87.54 / 65.82 / 54.48
		MAE	51.04 / 52.21 / 43.76	51.92 / 58.83 / 48.93	55.10 / 59.23 / 49.08	83.31 / 58.74 / 50.84
		DILATE	95.68 / 103.38 / 89.25	89.22 / 104.74 / 80.69	88.10 / 106.01 / 88.33	132.19 / 116.61 / 88.56
Dlinear	No ESE	RMSE	22.04 / 58.39 / 51.97	50.66 / 63.52 / 64.61	67.14 / 64.59 / 60.22	92.78 / 63.74 / 69.04
		MAE	19.18 / 58.39 / 51.97	50.66 / 63.52 / 64.61	67.14 / 64.59 / 60.22	92.78 / 63.74 / 69.04
		DILATE	45.45 / 99.93 / 99.96	87.03 / 108.59 / 99.94	101.20 / 118.17 / 103.51	136.85 / 118.43 / 109.94
	With ESE	RMSE	48.06 / 60.83 / 44.70	56.87 / 65.78 / 47.22	62.70 / 57.45 / 49.95	80.94 / 60.88 / 47.74
		MAE	44.94 / 54.27 / 41.85	49.41 / 55.30 / 43.62	49.56 / 54.06 / 45.92	78.21 / 53.68 / 44.73
		DILATE	94.59 / 108.73 / 87.24	88.64 / 109.88 / 81.47	85.47 / 105.71 / 85.93	134.28 / 116.09 / 87.14
Nlinear	No ESE	RMSE	21.87 / 52.43 / 49.68	49.79 / 63.18 / 64.50	68.31 / 64.99 / 59.76	89.84 / 63.35 / 66.13
		MAE	19.06 / 50.22 / 37.63	47.39 / 59.69 / 52.52	54.71 / 60.34 / 55.42	81.92 / 59.71 / 58.09
		DILATE	44.36 / 107.12 / 87.28	83.26 / 111.04 / 96.74	98.10 / 114.12 / 96.41	136.06 / 121.39 / 106.16
	With ESE	RMSE	49.60 / 57.33 / 44.93	50.42 / 62.04 / 47.75	64.62 / 61.12/ 51.66	80.85 / 61.16 / 48.89
		MAE	42.36 / 52.52 / 40.95	43.05 / 53.79 / 42.45	47.97 / 53.50 / 44.04	75.94 / 54.23 / 43.40
		DILATE	92.78 / 112.62 / 88.26	85.55 / 109.70 / 81.02	82.77 / 104.71 / 84.96	130.25 / 113.95 / 86.01
Informer	No ESE	RMSE	22.92 / 72.13 / 59.61	56.44 / 73.54 / 74.51	71.49 / 74.89 / 70.10	93.52 / 73.26 / 74.13
		MAE	20.71 / 59.39 / 49.49	53.23 / 67.45 / 63.64	62.63 / 73.56 / 65.62	90.27 / 67.35 / 72.68
		DILATE	48.32 / 113.47 / 104.16	88.81 / 116.67 / 106.36	101.45 / 121.24 / 106.10	140.17 / 123.48 / 113.73
	With ESE	RMSE	48.91 / 60.33 / 45.33	58.05 / 63.38 / 47.24	64.36 / 59.42 / 50.85	80.67 / 59.92 / 48.64
		MAE	46.65 / 56.45 / 43.03	51.90 / 55.95 / 45.59	53.44 / 53.93 / 47.36	80.24 / 53.83 / 47.08
		DILATE	96.76 / 115.66 / 89.05	92.64 / 114.93 / 84.22	87.00 / 105.64 / 88.17	134.14 / 115.22 / 91.27
FiLM	No ESE	RMSE	23.37 / 67.77 / 58.14	52.91 / 68.19 / 64.47	70.69 / 70.95 / 65.43	98.40 / 68.25 / 73.76
		MAE	17.00 / 47.94 / 37.09	46.15 / 56.51 / 54.90	52.49 / 54.18 / 50.92	82.39 / 56.29 / 54.97
		DILATE	46.93 / 110.09 / 96.07	85.45 / 112.35 / 103.06	101.78 / 115.29 / 98.14	125.44 / 122.49 / 113.50
	With ESE	RMSE	53.83 / 61.01 / 50.01	55.65 / 62.54 / 51.02	59.12 / 65.58 / 55.16	86.48 / 65.61 / 50.67
		MAE	42.11 / 52.43 / 39.40	49.64 / 51.79 / 41.04	46.08 / 50.41 / 43.20	71.67 / 49.26 / 39.06
		DILATE	92.53 / 107.13 / 82.48	85.16 / 110.01 / 79.91	79.95 / 103.14 / 83.09	126.04 / 112.30 / 86.10
SCINet	No ESE	RMSE	20.48 / 60.85 / 55.10	53.32 / 69.22 / 68.01	71.08 / 72.02 / 72.32	96.06 / 69.10 / 71.77
		MAE	19.36 / 56.65 / 42.04	50.05 / 63.89 / 64.95	69.14 / 64.99 / 70.29	88.44 / 63.85 / 70.98
		DILATE	43.96 / 108.74 / 100.85	83.15 / 111.04 / 110.93	105.47 / 119.92 / 108.09	134.81 / 121.46 / 113.48
	With ESE	RMSE	43.69 / 62.07 / 44.21	54.73 / 63.95 / 48.83	59.43 / 54.41 / 45.66	83.61 / 54.32 / 46.69
		MAE	42.12 / 59.91 / 38.27	52.05 / 51.63 / 42.97	48.95 / 50.42 / 42.81	74.86 / 52.71 / 43.78
		DILATE	92.20 / 107.98 / 86.00	87.98 / 110.07 / 84.23	84.57 / 106.37 / 85.26	132.25 / 112.62 / 84.60
DeepAR	No ESE	RMSE	24.88 / 61.90 / 61.21	55.00 / 66.93 / 66.71	75.73 / 73.09 / 69.34	99.18 / 67.14 / 73.78
		MAE	19.40 / 52.81 / 56.06	48.34 / 62.01 / 59.71	60.37 / 66.31 / 54.99	84.99 / 62.18 / 64.88
		DILATE	47.25 / 119.99 / 111.05	87.39 / 117.57 / 111.08	109.62 / 127.79 / 107.27	139.40 / 124.31 / 114.05
	With ESE	RMSE	52.55 / 63.69 / 45.47	59.99 / 60.65 / 49.65	63.25 / 60.91 / 54.13	87.15 / 58.46 / 53.12
		MAE	49.54 / 59.83 / 39.27	54.36 / 54.08 / 43.92	52.39 / 56.94 / 45.44	91.87 / 56.68 / 46.61
		DILATE	94.16 /107.97 / 94.09	90.70 / 106.95 / 78.64	84.46 / 105.02 / 82.79	129.77 / 110.14 / 81.88
KVAE	No ESE	RMSE	23.27 / 60.72 / 57.66	58.95 / 70.89 / 69.58	65.42 / 71.62 / 70.30	98.45 / 70.75 / 74.00
		MAE	22.32 / 58.54 / 52.38	53.05 / 67.86 / 64.93	62.51 / 67.81 / 71.92	97.92 / 68.02 / 74.63
		DILATE	40.05 / 96.89 / 88.48	82.51 / 109.64 / 98.68	91.43 / 112.65 / 98.33	125.39 / 118.89 / 105.96
	With ESE	RMSE	50.00 / 61.58 / 47.22	60.46 / 59.77 / 47.14	62.97 / 60.81 / 54.10	85.18 / 60.70 / 54.49
		MAE	42.43 / 59.51 / 40.00	51.24 / 52.44 / 41.47	49.07 / 55.56 / 45.52	78.06 / 55.50 / 44.42
		DILATE	94.94 / 109.02 / 80.13	85.39 / 108.19 / 80.32	85.63 / 103.92 / 87.88	134.26 / 117.88 / 87.54
TPGNN	No ESE	RMSE	23.25 / 64.05 / 58.41	56.21 / 64.98 / 68.01	71.15 / 75.09 / 69.00	96.70 / 65.08 / 80.21
		MAE	21.61 / 57.41 / 49.71	51.98 / 62.90 / 65.43	63.23 / 71.22 / 59.37	90.85 / 62.77 / 69.98
		DILATE	41.34 / 103.43 / 92.21	82.13 / 109.08 / 100.27	101.92 / 116.20 / 97.59	128.65 / 119.48 / 116.65
	With ESE	RMSE	53.04 / 64.88 / 50.49	59.39 / 61.65 / 49.79	65.28 / 64.04 / 55.30	87.54 / 64.02 / 54.93
		MAE	49.84 / 59.39 / 37.98	53.98 / 52.68 / 48.78	52.98 / 59.87 / 50.12	84.26 / 59.44 / 48.48
		DILATE	82.24 / 109.59 / 84.07	87.16 / 110.38 / 80.47	82.47 / 104.30 / 83.55	128.09 / 110.19 / 84.32
PatchTST	No ESE	RMSE	21.10 / 67.19 / 58.08	50.31 / 70.11 / 69.40	77.25 / 76.29 / 70.66	92.65 / 78.95 / 68.08
		MAE	20.53 / 61.18 / 46.43	43.36 / 64.12 / 62.86	68.05 / 72.54 / 59.04	85.67 / 73.31 / 61.05
		DILATE	35.13 / 112.75 / 114.12	76.29 / 116.47 / 113.85	119.00 / 122.54 / 105.50	127.33 / 118.50 / 107.17
	With ESE	RMSE	53.04 / 51.74 / 68.66	53.37 / 64.31 / 50.17	69.18 / 61.00 / 55.05	83.42 / 60.67 / 50.41
		MAE	48.56 / 59.60 / 36.25	48.91 / 57.96 / 47.02	55.34 / 53.04 / 49.14	76.01 / 55.41 / 48.36
		DILATE	94.00 / 106.89 / 86.48	87.27 / 108.79 / 74.21	79.16 / 108.51 / 82.13	111.36 / 103.62 / 81.61

J.2 PREDICTION COST ON COVID-19 DATA

Table 16: Comparing computational cost (output step is 1) with 12 SOTA methods, with no ESE and with ESE, with 10, 20, 50, 100 steps of input, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)			
		$Input\ Length = 10$	$Input\ Length = 20$	$Input\ Length = 50$	$Input\ Length = 100$
ESE	–	1.19 / 1.49 / 1.71	1.23 / 1.43 / 1.82	1.22 / 1.45 / 1.97	1.31 / 2.10 / 2.28
ARIMA	No ESE	0.18 / 0.70 / 2.81	0.22 / 0.89 / 3.59	0.27 / 1.09 / 4.11	0.33 / 1.31 / 5.07
	With ESE	1.20 / 1.50 / 1.72	1.24 / 1.44 / 1.83	1.23 / 1.46 / 1.99	1.33 / 2.12 / 2.30
LSTM	No ESE	5.06 / 20.68 / 86.88	6.14 / 27.76 / 109.29	7.77 / 33.81 / 131.74	9.23 / 39.96 / 149.26
	With ESE	1.46 / 1.77 / 1.98	1.57 / 1.74 / 2.13	1.61 / 1.84 / 2.41	1.80 / 2.59 / 2.72
Dlinear	No ESE	6.20 / 23.96 / 96.44	7.07 / 31.61 / 132.31	10.28 / 38.58 / 159.46	10.40 / 40.98 / 163.57
	With ESE	1.48 / 1.79 / 2.03	1.62 / 1.81 / 2.18	1.69 / 1.90 / 2.49	1.86 / 2.68 / 2.87
Nlinear	No ESE	6.04 / 24.91 / 99.01	7.40 / 31.37 / 135.11	9.57 / 37.83 / 155.00	11.56 / 45.67 / 160.09
	With ESE	1.50 / 1.81 / 2.01	1.60 / 1.80 / 2.23	1.72 / 1.93 / 2.41	1.87 / 2.70 / 2.86
Informer	No ESE	3.52 / 13.24 / 57.48	4.56 / 17.16 / 74.00	5.38 / 20.58 / 93.92	5.95 / 26.97 / 100.08
	With ESE	1.36 / 1.67 / 1.88	1.43 / 1.67 / 2.03	1.48 / 1.73 / 2.25	1.66 / 2.40 / 2.62
FiLM	No ESE	6.63 / 26.22 / 108.97	7.62 / 34.91 / 143.09	9.66 / 40.59 / 171.36	11.70 / 48.55 / 181.06
	With ESE	1.50 / 1.82 / 2.02	1.65 / 1.87 / 2.24	1.73 / 1.96 / 2.44	1.86 / 2.74 / 2.84
SCINet	No ESE	7.98 / 30.62 / 127.32	10.37 / 40.89 / 151.48	12.24 / 49.96 / 189.39	14.18 / 62.27 / 206.06
	With ESE	1.57 / 1.88 / 2.12	1.70 / 1.92 / 2.31	1.78 / 2.11 / 2.60	2.04 / 2.82 / 2.94
DeepAR	No ESE	5.11 / 19.57 / 76.72	6.16 / 23.56 / 94.99	7.34 / 31.55 / 131.00	9.22 / 37.25 / 130.67
	With ESE	1.43 / 1.74 / 1.95	1.52 / 1.72 / 2.15	1.63 / 1.87 / 2.39	1.77 / 2.52 / 2.73
KVAE	No ESE	4.37 / 17.03 / 67.34	5.21 / 22.17 / 90.23	7.19 / 28.31 / 96.23	6.80 / 32.41 / 109.62
	With ESE	1.41 / 1.71 / 1.92	1.49 / 1.69 / 2.07	1.53 / 1.78 / 2.30	1.67 / 2.50 / 2.63
TPGNN	No ESE	5.87 / 23.56 / 97.40	7.90 / 27.60 / 119.72	9.85 / 35.00 / 152.22	10.58 / 42.92 / 158.84
	With ESE	1.49 / 1.80 / 2.00	1.62 / 1.82 / 2.19	1.72 / 1.91 / 2.48	1.81 / 2.65 / 2.86
PatchTST	No ESE	3.71 / 15.55 / 61.08	4.60 / 18.68 / 82.90	5.85 / 23.96 / 94.47	6.92 / 27.70 / 109.89
	With ESE	1.38 / 1.69 / 1.90	1.48 / 1.69 / 2.08	1.53 / 1.78 / 2.29	1.79 / 2.46 / 2.66

Table 17: Comparing computational cost (output step is 2) with 12 SOTA methods, with no ESE and with ESE, with 10, 20, 50, 100 steps of input, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)			
		$Input\ Length = 10$	$Input\ Length = 20$	$Input\ Length = 50$	$Input\ Length = 100$
ESE		1.20 / 1.50 / 1.72	1.23 / 1.44 / 1.81	1.22 / 1.46 / 1.99	1.32 / 2.11 / 2.30
ARIMA	No ESE	0.18 / 0.70 / 2.71	0.21 / 0.94 / 3.78	0.29 / 1.02 / 4.79	0.35 / 1.30 / 5.32
	With ESE	1.21 / 1.51 / 1.73	1.24 / 1.45 / 1.84	1.24 / 1.47 / 1.99	1.34 / 2.13 / 2.30
LSTM	No ESE	5.06 / 20.24 / 88.66	7.04 / 25.26 / 104.36	7.83 / 33.44 / 132.97	10.29 / 41.51 / 153.76
	With ESE	1.47 / 1.76 / 1.96	1.55 / 1.75 / 2.15	1.61 / 1.89 / 2.41	1.77 / 2.59 / 2.75
Dlinear	No ESE	6.02 / 24.55 / 95.88	7.02 / 32.21 / 127.01	10.14 / 36.63 / 140.45	10.77 / 48.41 / 165.12
	With ESE	1.49 / 1.80 / 2.02	1.62 / 1.81 / 2.22	1.69 / 1.91 / 2.51	1.88 / 2.71 / 2.88
Nlinear	No ESE	6.18 / 23.48 / 98.89	7.86 / 32.94 / 124.18	10.26 / 37.00 / 150.42	11.08 / 43.64 / 186.56
	With ESE	1.48 / 1.80 / 2.03	1.65 / 1.83 / 2.19	1.67 / 1.93 / 2.50	1.91 / 2.67 / 2.86
Informer	No ESE	3.53 / 14.52 / 58.83	4.67 / 16.95 / 71.12	5.40 / 22.88 / 88.41	6.54 / 24.66 / 93.47
	With ESE	1.36 / 1.68 / 1.89	1.43 / 1.65 / 2.05	1.50 / 1.75 / 2.27	1.62 / 2.41 / 2.62
FiLM	No ESE	6.49 / 25.28 / 102.23	7.62 / 32.35 / 138.70	10.62 / 42.84 / 167.44	11.61 / 43.21 / 198.15
	With ESE	1.52 / 1.81 / 2.06	1.66 / 1.85 / 2.25	1.76 / 2.01 / 2.46	1.95 / 2.65 / 2.84
SCINet	No ESE	8.09 / 30.57 / 130.87	9.43 / 41.49 / 158.16	12.55 / 45.86 / 211.56	15.92 / 55.12 / 236.50
	With ESE	1.59 / 1.91 / 2.10	1.70 / 1.91 / 2.34	1.83 / 2.03 / 2.64	2.09 / 2.89 / 3.05
DeepAR	No ESE	4.75 / 19.20 / 79.48	6.58 / 24.60 / 95.80	7.70 / 29.92 / 126.18	9.03 / 31.82 / 151.75
	With ESE	1.45 / 1.73 / 1.96	1.54 / 1.76 / 2.14	1.63 / 1.81 / 2.34	1.73 / 2.55 / 2.71
KVAE	No ESE	4.02 / 16.90 / 65.41	5.57 / 22.04 / 84.81	6.08 / 27.94 / 113.13	7.36 / 32.06 / 133.49
	With ESE	1.40 / 1.72 / 1.92	1.47 / 1.72 / 2.09	1.57 / 1.80 / 2.31	1.70 / 2.50 / 2.71
TPGNN	No ESE	6.09 / 22.68 / 99.67	7.21 / 28.38 / 118.50	9.36 / 37.78 / 152.74	10.76 / 44.22 / 155.24
	With ESE	1.48 / 1.80 / 2.02	1.59 / 1.80 / 2.24	1.67 / 1.94 / 2.43	1.86 / 2.63 / 2.87
PatchTST	No ESE	3.77 / 14.77 / 60.33	4.83 / 18.83 / 81.41	6.31 / 24.19 / 101.68	7.29 / 28.69 / 103.71
	With ESE	1.38 / 1.69 / 1.91	1.47 / 1.67 / 2.08	1.54 / 1.76 / 2.31	1.69 / 2.47 / 2.61

Table 18: Comparing computational cost (output step is 5) with 12 SOTA methods, with no ESE and with ESE, with 10, 20, 50, 100 steps of input, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)			
		Input Length = 10	*Input Length = 20*	*Input Length = 50*	*Input Length = 100*
ESE		1.25 / 1.53 / 1.91	1.31 / 1.61 / 1.90	1.23 / 1.54 / 2.05	1.40 / 2.41 / 2.38
ARIMA	No ESE	0.18 / 0.83 / 3.22	0.24 / 1.02 / 3.64	0.30 / 1.19 / 4.55	0.34 / 1.46 / 5.81
	With ESE	1.24 / 1.68 / 1.91	1.36 / 1.64 / 1.92	1.36 / 1.49 / 2.10	1.51 / 2.14 / 2.33
LSTM	No ESE	5.68 / 21.82 / 91.98	7.45 / 27.28 / 112.66	8.79 / 32.04 / 138.17	11.39 / 41.16 / 158.84
	With ESE	1.67 / 2.04 / 2.23	1.68 / 2.08 / 2.49	1.92 / 2.07 / 2.76	2.01 / 2.70 / 3.24
Dlinear	No ESE	6.05 / 23.42 / 113.54	7.03 / 35.35 / 124.01	10.35 / 41.71 / 160.66	11.36 / 40.65 / 189.59
	With ESE	1.57 / 1.88 / 2.22	1.78 / 1.85 / 2.34	1.83 / 2.11 / 2.68	1.97 / 2.64 / 3.05
Nlinear	No ESE	6.59 / 27.11 / 103.52	7.77 / 32.62 / 146.44	10.50 / 39.99 / 168.24	12.11 / 43.00 / 177.14
	With ESE	1.56 / 1.88 / 2.23	1.73 / 1.84 / 2.48	1.78 / 2.07 / 2.72	1.95 / 2.75 / 3.01
Informer	No ESE	4.08 / 14.90 / 64.72	4.35 / 17.16 / 76.05	5.96 / 24.74 / 99.45	6.86 / 26.33 / 108.50
	With ESE	1.53 / 1.90 / 2.08	1.59 / 1.66 / 2.22	1.56 / 1.80 / 2.55	1.73 / 2.74 / 2.64
FiLM	No ESE	6.65 / 27.88 / 115.14	7.84 / 33.46 / 140.27	10.58 / 45.99 / 193.35	11.37 / 49.80 / 177.95
	With ESE	1.55 / 2.07 / 2.24	1.87 / 2.05 / 2.45	1.93 / 2.00 / 2.52	1.94 / 3.01 / 3.13
SCINet	No ESE	8.52 / 31.75 / 144.09	11.46 / 40.04 / 183.36	12.63 / 50.63 / 222.10	14.04 / 62.88 / 262.88
	With ESE	1.68 / 2.11 / 2.37	1.74 / 1.96 / 2.66	1.89 / 2.39 / 2.94	1.97 / 3.12 / 3.44
DeepAR	No ESE	5.07 / 18.75 / 82.37	6.46 / 25.93 / 102.85	7.53 / 29.79 / 123.68	9.52 / 39.91 / 143.83
	With ESE	1.51 / 1.90 / 1.96	1.65 / 1.76 / 2.29	1.82 / 2.07 / 2.69	1.97 / 2.83 / 3.06
KVAE	No ESE	4.64 / 19.12 / 66.30	5.56 / 20.49 / 91.55	7.02 / 24.84 / 120.19	9.01 / 31.98 / 153.34
	With ESE	1.41 / 1.84 / 2.20	1.71 / 1.78 / 2.15	1.54 / 1.79 / 2.63	1.76 / 2.77 / 2.86
TPGNN	No ESE	6.13 / 26.30 / 111.59	8.85 / 34.59 / 123.10	9.10 / 37.87 / 167.70	10.93 / 47.32 / 167.42
	With ESE	1.67 / 2.06 / 2.08	1.82 / 1.83 / 2.22	1.78 / 2.09 / 2.52	2.02 / 2.65 / 2.96
PatchTST	No ESE	4.30 / 16.03 / 67.92	5.10 / 21.31 / 91.14	6.46 / 25.72 / 117.19	7.36 / 27.97 / 132.35
	With ESE	1.57 / 1.70 / 2.08	1.55 / 1.84 / 2.23	1.53 / 1.78 / 2.43	1.81 / 2.61 / 2.70

Table 19: Comparing computational cost (output step is 10) with 12 SOTA methods, with no ESE and with ESE, with 10, 20, 50, 100 steps of input, for 20 large regions / 79 regions / 320 sub-regions.

Models		Computational Costs (mins)			
		Input Length = 10	*Input Length = 20*	*Input Length = 50*	*Input Length = 100*
ESE		1.20 / 1.77 / 2.00	1.30 / 1.65 / 2.03	1.22 / 1.66 / 1.98	1.36 / 2.11 / 2.66
ARIMA	No ESE	0.20 / 0.77 / 3.11	0.23 / 0.97 / 3.86	0.33 / 1.29 / 4.64	0.31 / 1.47 / 5.27
	With ESE	1.32 / 1.78 / 1.89	1.37 / 1.54 / 2.12	1.40 / 1.51 / 2.03	1.51 / 2.15 / 2.57
LSTM	No ESE	5.39 / 23.63 / 93.81	6.64 / 27.15 / 130.60	8.34 / 35.48 / 156.14	11.54 / 41.35 / 183.91
	With ESE	1.60 / 2.03 / 2.17	1.65 / 2.04 / 2.47	1.77 / 2.09 / 2.65	1.84 / 3.00 / 2.98
Dlinear	No ESE	7.17 / 25.10 / 102.81	8.49 / 33.99 / 149.76	9.38 / 38.68 / 180.71	12.24 / 43.94 / 190.21
	With ESE	1.68 / 2.10 / 2.35	1.70 / 2.05 / 2.33	1.94 / 2.23 / 2.51	1.96 / 2.75 / 3.27
Nlinear	No ESE	6.49 / 26.95 / 104.37	7.99 / 37.47 / 136.83	9.96 / 44.62 / 156.76	11.51 / 48.25 / 171.26
	With ESE	1.58 / 1.99 / 2.07	1.85 / 1.82 / 2.45	1.84 / 2.12 / 2.45	1.96 / 2.72 / 3.04
Informer	No ESE	3.71 / 15.70 / 62.75	4.41 / 20.75 / 86.88	5.85 / 23.84 / 89.99	6.69 / 27.92 / 97.35
	With ESE	1.52 / 1.80 / 2.00	1.61 / 1.98 / 2.46	1.72 / 1.93 / 2.38	1.66 / 2.89 / 2.76
FiLM	No ESE	7.00 / 26.69 / 115.83	9.40 / 36.31 / 138.15	10.87 / 44.67 / 172.86	12.54 / 57.13 / 218.43
	With ESE	1.76 / 2.19 / 2.14	1.97 / 1.99 / 2.55	1.81 / 2.00 / 2.63	2.00 / 2.99 / 3.26
SCINet	No ESE	9.11 / 31.92 / 133.02	11.90 / 38.60 / 161.36	13.38 / 49.13 / 214.69	14.99 / 64.88 / 239.29
	With ESE	1.87 / 1.96 / 2.26	1.82 / 2.15 / 2.35	1.91 / 2.30 / 2.64	2.15 / 3.02 / 3.58
DeepAR	No ESE	5.75 / 22.47 / 90.77	6.66 / 27.13 / 103.54	8.19 / 33.24 / 131.73	9.22 / 37.33 / 172.25
	With ESE	1.68 / 1.88 / 2.32	1.59 / 2.01 / 2.25	1.65 / 2.24 / 2.71	1.93 / 2.89 / 2.93
KVAE	No ESE	4.33 / 18.79 / 73.88	4.90 / 25.86 / 97.79	7.79 / 30.02 / 123.96	7.83 / 28.27 / 144.77
	With ESE	1.39 / 1.71 / 2.25	1.52 / 2.00 / 2.42	1.63 / 1.76 / 2.54	1.71 / 2.96 / 2.74
TPGNN	No ESE	5.97 / 24.32 / 104.31	7.44 / 34.46 / 155.33	10.28 / 45.28 / 144.83	12.46 / 50.40 / 172.18
	With ESE	1.72 / 2.03 / 2.25	1.62 / 2.09 / 2.58	1.70 / 2.10 / 2.74	2.11 / 2.89 / 3.38
PatchTST	No ESE	4.39 / 15.63 / 66.70	4.99 / 20.69 / 89.16	7.09 / 24.81 / 109.28	6.74 / 33.07 / 118.99
	With ESE	1.51 / 1.77 / 1.94	1.70 / 1.78 / 2.12	1.63 / 2.00 / 2.56	1.66 / 2.56 / 3.14